



# NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

2025 Session

## Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

**Short Title:** Establish Larceny of Mail Offense.  
**Bill Number:** House Bill 34 (Second Edition)  
**Sponsor(s):**

### FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY

Criminal offenses are classified as misdemeanors (Class 3 as the lowest and Class A1 as the highest) and felonies (Class I to Class A). There are three types of legislative changes to offenses that may result in a fiscal impact to the State's criminal justice system: creating a new offense, changing the class of an existing offense, or changing the scope of an existing offense.

This proposed legislation would **result in higher sentencing levels for existing Class 1 and Class H larceny charges** if the larceny involves mail. Because these are effectively new charges, there is insufficient data to predict how many individuals may be charged or convicted. **Therefore, Fiscal Research is unable to project the fiscal impact** of the proposed legislation on the criminal justice system.

Each additional person charged under the proposed offenses will have a cost to the judicial system and each additional person convicted will have a cost to the correction system. The **cost of one charge and conviction** is listed in the table below, along with the percent of cases that incur those costs at that offense level.

Cost of One Charge and Conviction											
	Prosecution and Defense			Active Sentence					Suspended Sentence		
Offense Class	Admin. Office of the Courts	Indigent Defense Services		DAC - Confinement			DAC - Post-Release Supervision (PRS)		DAC - Probation		
Felony	Cost	Rate	Cost	Rate	Cost	Length (Mo.)	Cost	Length (Mo.)	Rate	Cost	Length (Mo.)
▲ H to G	\$509	0%	\$196	6%	\$2,952	4	\$0	0	-6%	\$237	1
▲ 1 to A1	\$245	-10%	\$44	0%	\$0	0	\$0	0	0%	\$475	2
Note: Court costs reflect the average cost per disposition. Costs for active sentences, probation, and post-release supervision reflect the total cost of the sentence or supervision period. Costs to the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) only occur for active sentences > 90 days and impaired driving. The triangle symbols ( ▲ ) represent change, plus and minus ( +/- ) symbols reflect the increase or decrease in cost or time from the current to proposed changes in charge levels.											



## FISCAL IMPACT OF H.B.34, V.2

	<u>FY 2025-26</u>	<u>FY 2026-27</u>	<u>FY 2027-28</u>	<u>FY 2028-29</u>	<u>FY 2029-30</u>
<b>State Impact</b>					
General Fund Revenue	-	-	-	-	-
Less Expenditures	-	-	-	-	-
<b>General Fund Impact</b>	<b>No Estimate Available - Refer to Fiscal Analysis section</b>				

<b>NET STATE IMPACT</b>	<b>No Estimate Available - Refer to Fiscal Analysis section</b>
-------------------------	---

**TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS:** See Technical Considerations Section

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Bill Summary:

This bill amends G.S. 14-72, Larceny of property; receiving stolen goods or possessing stolen goods, by adding subsection (c1). Subsection (c1) requires the person to be sentenced one class higher than the principal offense for which the person was convicted where the larceny or receiving or possession of stolen goods is of mail. The subsection defines mail.

Larceny of property is currently charged as either a Class 1 misdemeanor for items under \$1,000 in value and as a Class H felony for items over \$1,000 in value. Under this proposal, these charges would be sentenced at one level higher than the actual charge, and as such qualifying offenses would be sentenced as either a Class A1 misdemeanor or Class G felony, respectively. However, while there is data available for the existing larceny charges, there is no way to know how many of those charges involved larceny of mail. As such, **Fiscal Research is unable to provide any cost projections for this bill.**

#### Capital Expenses

Capital costs emerge when prison bed demand exceeds capacity. Based on the most recent prison population and bed capacity projections from the Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission (SPAC), the State will have sufficient prison beds available beyond the five-year fiscal note horizon. Therefore, Fiscal Research anticipates there will be **no additional prison capital requirements** as a result of this proposed legislation.

#### Operating Expenses

The table in the Fiscal Impact Summary lists the costs specific to the charges included in this proposal.

### TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) notes that, as written, the definitions of “mail,” “sent,” and “delivered” are broad enough where actual fiscal impact could be estimated only after appellate review provides definitive limits to these terms. Specifically:



- 1) “Mail”: the custom definition in the bill means this offense would not be limited to mail sent via the U.S. Postal Service or commercial delivery services, such as UPS or FedEx. It appears to encompass (i) any physical item with any value or any written communication in physical form that (ii) is sent or delivered via “any method of delivery” to another, which may include any exchange of goods between private parties.
- 2) “Sent” and “Delivered”: it is unclear how proximal the act of larceny must be to the act of “sending” or “delivering” to qualify as larceny/receiving/possessing of mail under the proposed statute. Most goods are “sent” or “delivered” at some point in their lifecycle, thereby affecting the potential fiscal impact of this bill.

Additionally, FRD notes that:

- Due to delays in updated data availability, FRD must utilize 2023 data for Incarceration Notes for the beginning of the 2025 long session. Any changes are not expected to be significant, but FRD does plan to revisit Incarceration Notes prepared prior to the availability of updated data when and where appropriate later in the session.
- Offense changes are typically effective on December 1. FRD assumes that costs incurred in the first year to the judicial and correction systems would be less than annualized costs due to lag time in charges and convictions.
- This estimate assumes that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime rates. Likewise, FRD assumes no deterrent effects for any modifications to criminal penalties. The estimates in this Incarceration Note make no assumptions about the larger impact on crime rates or costs to society or the State.
- This estimate makes no prediction regarding the likelihood that a prosecutor will charge an offense based on any proposed increases or decreases to the offense class level. This estimate also does not attempt to predict the impact of offense class changes on plea negotiations. FRD assumes the proposed offense class is charged and convicted at the same rate as the prior level.
- For reference, Appendix A to this document shows the costs per charge/conviction for each class of offense in North Carolina.

## **DATA SOURCES**

---

Department of Adult Correction; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

## **LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE – PURPOSE AND LIMITATIONS**

---

This document is an official fiscal analysis prepared pursuant to Chapter 120 of the General Statutes and rules adopted by the Senate and House of Representatives. The estimates in this analysis are based on the data, assumptions, and methodology described in the Fiscal Analysis section of this document. This document only addresses sections of the bill that have projected direct fiscal impacts on State or local governments and does not address sections that have no projected fiscal impacts.



## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

---

Questions on this analysis should be directed to the Fiscal Research Division at (919) 733-4910.

## **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY**

---

Mark White

## **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY**

---

Brian Matteson, Director of Fiscal Research  
Fiscal Research Division  
February 28, 2025



**Signed copy located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices**



## APPENDIX A: COSTS PER CHARGE/CONVICTION BY OFFENSE CLASS

Cost of One Charge and Conviction											
	Prosecution and Defense			Active Sentence					Suspended Sentence		
Offense Class	Admin. Office of the Courts	Indigent Defense Services		DAC - Confinement			DAC - Post- Release Supervision (PRS)		DAC - Probation		
Felony	Cost	Rate	Cost	Rate	Cost	Length (Mo.)	Cost	Length (Mo.)	Rate	Cost	Length (Mo.)
A	\$55,469	95%	\$11,967	100%	NA	Life	N/A	N/A	0%	N/A	0
B1	\$23,852	78%	\$4,187	100%	\$178,610	242	\$2,994	12	0%	N/A	0
B2	\$17,704	85%	\$4,187	100%	\$115,137	156	\$2,994	12	0%	N/A	0
C	\$8,598	82%	\$2,317	100%	\$63,473	86	\$2,994	12	0%	N/A	0
D	\$7,027	89%	\$1,744	100%	\$47,236	64	\$2,994	12	0%	N/A	0
E	\$3,281	79%	\$909	57%	\$19,928	27	\$2,994	12	43%	\$7,356	31
F	\$1,849	74%	\$849	51%	\$13,285	18	\$2,282	9	49%	\$7,119	30
G	\$1,525	78%	\$706	39%	\$11,071	15	\$2,282	9	61%	\$6,170	26
H	\$1,016	78%	\$510	33%	\$8,119	11	\$2,282	9	67%	\$5,933	25
I	\$740	68%	\$407	15%	\$4,428	6	\$2,282	9	85%	\$5,221	22
Misdemeanor	Cost	Rate	Cost	Rate	Cost	Length (Days)			Rate	Cost	Length (Mo.)
A1	\$580	52%	\$281	Active sentences for misdemeanor convictions are served in County jail.			Misdemeanants do not receive PRS		64%	\$3,797	16
1	\$335	62%	\$237						64%	\$3,322	14
2	\$178	30%	\$237						78%	\$3,085	13
3	\$63	14%	\$202						84%	\$3,085	13

*Note: Court costs reflect the average cost per disposition. Costs for active sentences, probation, and post-release supervision reflect the total cost of the sentence or supervision period. Costs to the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) only occur for active sentences > 90 days and for impaired driving.*

