# GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA Session 2015 Legislative Actuarial Note Health Benefits

**BILL NUMBER:** House Bill 1121 (First Edition)

SHORT TITLE: State Health Plan Admin. Changes.

**SPONSOR(S):** Representative Dobson

SYSTEM OR PROGRAM AFFECTED: State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees (Plan).

**FUNDS AFFECTED:** State General Fund, State Highway Fund, other State employer receipts; premium payments for dependents of active employees and retired employees of State agencies and universities, local public schools and local community colleges; premium payments for coverages selected by eligible former employees; premium payments for coverages selected by firefighters, rescue squad workers, members of the National Guard, and certain authorized local governments.

**BILL SUMMARY:** House Bill 1121 (First Edition) makes several changes to the statutes governing the State Health Plan. The changes in each section are described below.

<u>Section 1</u>: Amends G.S. 135-48.32 to require claims processing contractors to provide all available claims data elements to the Plan, including the identification of providers and the allowed amounts paid. This information would not be available to anyone other than certain Plan staff unless allowed under the contract.

<u>Section 2</u>: Amends G.S. 135-48.34 to exempt contracts between the Plan and various external parties from Parts 3 and 4 of Article 15 of Chapter 143B, which cover oversight of information technology projects and procurement by the Department of Information Technology.

Section 3: Amends G.S. 135-48.47(b)(3) to forbid local governments that participate in the Plan from charging employees more for their coverage than in the structure set by the Plan.

<u>Section 4</u>: Provides a window for local governments to withdraw from participating effective January 1, 2017. While the local government is not required to provide a reason, some may wish to withdraw if they do not want to accept the restrictions of Section 3.

<u>Section 5</u>: Specifies that the Plan is responsible for federal filing requirements under sections 6055 and 6056 of the Internal Revenue Code for retirees and direct bill members, but employing units are responsible for those filings for all other individuals. The Plan shall provide employing units with access to the necessary data and may facilitate a reporting solution, but the employing unit is responsible for paying all costs of that solution. For 2015, the Plan provided and paid for a solution for all employing units. The filing requirements relate to the "individual mandate" and "employer mandate" under the federal Affordable Care Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Section 3 becomes effective January 1, 2017. All other sections become effective when they become law and apply to contracts entered into, renewed or amended after that date.

# **ESTIMATED IMPACT ON STATE:**

<u>The Segal Company</u>, the consulting actuary for the Plan, estimates that Section 5 will reduce costs to the Plan by \$2.5 million per year, which is the estimated cost to administer the federal reporting. However, this cost will be shifted to the employing units and thus does not represent a reduction for the State as a whole. The Segal Company estimates that the impact of all other sections is negligible.

<u>Hartman & Associates</u>, the consulting actuary for the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division, estimates that Section 4 could have a financial impact on the Plan but did not have sufficient data to estimate the magnitude. Hartman & Associates estimates that the other sections will not have a material impact on the State.

**ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:** The actuarial analyses used by each respective consulting actuary are on file with the Fiscal Research Division. Copies of each respective consulting actuary's analysis, including assumptions, are also attached to the original copy of this Legislative Actuarial note.

# Summary Information and Data about the Plan

The Plan administers health benefit coverage for active employees from employing units of State agencies and departments, universities, local public schools, and local community colleges. Eligible retired employees of authorized employing units may also access health benefit coverage under the Plan. Eligible dependents of active and retired employees are authorized to participate in the Plan provided they meet certain requirements. Employees and retired employees of selected local governments and charter schools may also participate in the Plan under certain conditions. Members of fire, rescue squads, and the National Guard may also obtain coverage under the Plan provided they meet certain eligibility criteria.

The State finances the Plan on a self-funded basis and administers benefit coverage under a Preferred Provider Option (PPO) arrangement, with the exception of many Medicare-eligible retirees who are in fully-insured Medicare Advantage plans. The Plan's receipts are derived through premium contributions, investment earnings and other receipts. Premiums for health benefit coverage are paid by (1) employing agencies for active employees, (2) the Retiree Health Benefit Fund for retired employees, and (3) employees and retirees who participate in a plan with a non-zero premium or who elect dependent coverage. Benefit and premium changes are typically effective at January 1. The Plan's PPO benefit design includes three alternative benefit levels listed below:

- 1) The "Traditional" 70/30 plan that offers higher out-of pocket requirements in return for lower employee and retiree premiums without needing to complete wellness activities,
- 2) The "Enhanced" 80/20 plan that offers lower out-of-pocket requirements with higher employee and retiree premiums, which can be lowered by completing wellness activities, and
- 3) The Consumer-Directed Health Plan (CDHP) that applies deductibles and co-insurance to all services and offers lower employee and retiree premiums if one completes wellness activities

Medicare-eligible retirees are offered three alternative plans:

1) The "Traditional" 70/30 plan as coverage secondary to Medicare for medical services plus a pharmacy benefit plan,

- 2) "Base" Medicare Advantage Prescription Drug Plans (MA-PDPs) from a choice of two carriers, Humana or United Healthcare, that are actuarially equivalent to the "Enhanced" 80/20 Plan and apply in-network out-of-pocket requirements at out-of-network providers
- 3) "Enhanced" MA-PDPs, identical to the "Base" MA-PDPs, except with lower co-pays and higher retiree premiums

The following tables provide a summary of the most common monthly premium rates for the Plan in 2016:

# **Active Employees and Non-Medicare Retirees**

#### Wellness Plans

Employee/Retiree Share
yer Complete All Complete No
e Wellness Wellness
Activities * Activities
68 \$14.20 \$104.20
68 \$0.00 \$80.00
yer Employee/Retiree Share
e 68 \$0.00
e 6 6 y

\* Members receive credits for each activity. We have shown all or none for simplicity.

#### **Medicare Retirees**

Medicare Advantage Plans		
	Employer Share	Employee/Retiree Share
MA-PDP Base Plan	\$360.24	\$0.00
MA-PDP Enhanced Plan	\$360.24	\$66.00
Alternate Plan		
	Employer Share	Employee/Retiree Share
Traditional 70/30 Plan	\$360.24	\$0.00

**Dependents** (paid by employee/retiree in addition to premiums above)

	All Depen	dents are Nor	n-Medicare	One or Mo	ore Medicare I	Dependents
	Enhanced	CDHP	Traditional	MA-PDP	MA-PDP	Traditional
	80/20		70/30	Base	Enhanced	70/30
Employee/Retiree	\$280.52	\$189.82	\$210.92	\$132.00	\$198.00	\$150.06
+ Children						
Employee/Retiree	\$646.32	\$489.14	\$543.46	\$132.00	\$198.00	\$394.56
+ Spouse						
Employee/Retiree	\$685.22	\$520.96	\$578.86	\$264.00	\$396.00	\$429.92
+ Family						

The employer share of premiums for retirees is paid from the Retiree Health Benefit Fund. During FY 2015-16, employers contribute 5.60% of active employee payroll into the Fund. Total contributions for the year are projected to be approximately \$915 million.

# **Financial Condition**

**Projected Results for CY 2016 and CY 2017** – The following summarizes projected financial results for 2016 and 2017, based on financial experience through December, 2015 and enrollments for January, 2016. The projection assumes a 7.0% annual claims growth trend for medical claims, an 8.5% trend for pharmacy claims, benefit provisions and member-paid premiums as currently adopted by the Board, a new pharmacy benefit manager contract approved in March 2016 with an open formulary, and no assumed premium increase in 2017 (corresponds to General Assembly using half of the \$71 million Reserve for Future Benefit Needs for premium increases).

	(\$ mill	ions)
	Projected	Projected
	CY 2016	CY 2017
Beginning Cash Balance	\$1,015.2	\$863.2
Receipts:		
Net Premium Collections	\$3,118.0	\$3,153.0
Medicare Part D / EGWP Subsidies	\$18.4	\$17.2
Investment Earnings	\$3.6	\$2.4
Total	\$3,139.9	\$3,172.6
Disbursements:		
Net Medical Claim Payment Expenses	\$2,198.4	\$2,309.7
Net Pharmacy Claim Payment Expenses	\$748.1	\$727.0
Medicare Advantage Premiums	\$193.2	\$213.0
Administration and Claims-Processing Expenses	\$250.4	\$249.1
Total	\$3,390.1	\$3,498.8
Net Operating Income (Loss)	(\$250.2)	(\$326.2)

Of the premiums paid in CY 2016, an estimated \$2.1 billion is derived from General Fund sources and an estimated \$0.1 billion is derived from Highway Fund sources.

# **Other Information**

Additional assumptions include Medicare benefit "carve-outs," cost containment strategies including prior approval for certain medical services, utilization of the "Blue Options" provider network, case and disease management for selected medical conditions, mental health case management, coordination of benefits with other payers, a prescription drug benefit manager with manufacturer rebates from formularies, fraud detection, and other authorized actions by the State Treasurer, Executive Administrator, and Board of Trustees to manage the Plan to maintain and improve the Plan's operation and financial condition where possible. Medical claim costs are expected to increase at a rate of 7.0% annually and pharmacy claim costs are expected to increase at a rate of 8.5% annually according to assumptions adopted by the Board of Trustees. The active population is projected to decline by 1% per year and the retired population is projected to increase by 1% per year.

# Enrollment as of January 1, 2016

I.	No. of Participants	Traditional 70/30	Enhanced 80/20	Consumer Directed	Medicare Advantage	Total	Percent of Total
	Actives						
	Employees	124,524	172,262	12,987	-	309,773	44.2%
	Dependents	74,027	78,800	13,726	-	166,553	23.8%
	Sub-total	198,551	251,062	26,713	-	476,326	68.0%
	Retired						
	Employees	57,363	31,377	1,364	105,878	195,982	28.0%
	Dependents	6,221	4,205	507	8,615	19,548	<u>2.8%</u>
	Sub-total	63,584	35,582	1,871	114,493	215,530	30.8%
	Other						
	Employees	1,919	3,686	517	-	6,122	0.9%
	Dependents	1,077	1,324	412		2,813	<u>0.4%</u>
	Sub-total	2,996	5,010	929	-	8,935	1.3%
	<u>Total</u>						
	Employees	183,806	207,325	14,868	105,878	511,877	73.0%
	Dependents	81,325	84,329	14,645	8,615	188,914	27.0%
	Grand Total	265,131	291,654	29,513	114,493	700,791	100%
	Percent of Total	37.8%	41.6%	4.2%	16.3%	100.0%	
I.	Enrollment by Contract	Traditional	Enhanced	CDHP	MA	Total	
	Employee Only	141,203	163,058	7,846	97,263	409,370	
	Employee Child(ren)	24,867	28,046	3,356	191	56,460	
	Employee Spouse	6,087	5,835	1,023	8,424	21,369	
	Employee Family	10,327	9,454	2,488		22,269	
	Other (e.g. Split Contract)	1,322	932	155		2,409	
	Total	183,806	207,325	14,868	105,878	511,877	
	Percent Enrollment by Contract	Traditional	Enhanced	CDHP	MA	Total	
	Employee Only	76.8%	78.6%	52.8%	91.9%	80.0%	
	Employee Child(ren)	13.5%	13.5%	22.6%	0.2%	11.0%	
	Employee Spouse	3.3%	2.8%	6.9%	8.0%	4.2%	
	Employee Family	5.6%	4.6%	16.7%	0.0%	4.4%	
	Other (e.g. Split Contract)	0.7%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
TT	. Enrollment by Sex	Traditional	Enhanced	CDHP	МА	Total	
	Female	154,763	189,650	16,456	<b>MA</b> 75,648	436,517	
	Male	110,368	102,004	13,057	75,648 38,845	436,517 264,274	
	Total	265,131	291,654	29,513	114,493	700,791	
		-	<b>F</b> . <b>b</b> . <b>c</b> . <b>b</b>	00110		<b>-</b>	
	Percent Enrollment by Sex	Traditional	Enhanced	CDHP	MA	Total	
	Female	58.4%	65.0%	55.8%	66.1%	62.3%	
	Male	41.6%	35.0%	44.2%	33.9%	37.7%	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	Enrollment by Age	Traditional	Enhanced	CDHP	MA	Total
	24 & Under	68,579	71,417	11,272	6	151,274
	25 to 44	68,236	77,686	8,265	261	154,448
	45 to 54	44,917	57,827	5,192	991	108,927
	55 to 64	45,251	77,793	4,524	1,467	129,035
	65 & Over	38,148	6,931	260	111,768	157,107
	Total	265,131	291,654	29,513	114,493	700,791
			_,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Percent Enrollment by Age	Traditional	Enhanced	CDHP	MA	Total
	24 & Under	25.9%	24.5%	38.2%	0.0%	21.6%
	25 to 44	25.7%	26.6%	28.0%	0.2%	22.0%
	45 to 54	16.9%	19.8%	17.6%	0.9%	15.5%
	55 to 64	17.1%	26.7%	15.3%	1.3%	18.4%
	65 & Over	14.4%	2.4%	0.9%	97.6%	22.4%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Retiree Enrollment by Category			Employee	Dependents	<u>Total</u>
	Non-Medicare Eligible			53,515	9,734	63,249
	Medicare Eligible in Traditional 70/3	30		36,589	1,199	37,788
	Medicare Eligible in Base Medicare		5	87,652	6,063	93,715
	Medicare Eligible in Enhanced Medi			18,226	2,552	20,778
	Total	<u></u>		195,982	19,548	215,530
	Percent Enrollment by Category	(Retiree)		Employee	Dependents	<u>Total</u>
	Non-Medicare Eligible	-		27.3%	49.8%	29.3%
	Medicare Eligible in Traditional 70/3	30		18.7%	6.1%	17.5%
	Medicare Eligible in Base Medicare	Advantage Plans		44.7%	31.0%	43.5%
	Medicare Eligible in Enhanced Medi			9.3%	13.1%	<u>9.6</u> %
	Total	2		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
I.	Enrollment By Major Employer	Groups		Employees	Dependents	Total
	State Agencies	-		68,920	32,894	101,814
	UNC System			51,808	33,122	84,930
	Local Public Schools			169,576	89,302	258,878
	Charter Schools (86 entities)			3,786	2,377	6,163
	Local Community Colleges			15,683	8,858	24,541
	Other					
	Local Goverments (44 entities)			5,354	2,410	7,764
	COBRA/Reduction in Force			763	398	1,161
	Nat. Guard, Fire & Rescue			5	550	1,101
	Sub-total			315,895	169,366	485,261
	Retirement System			195,982	19,548	215,530
	Total			E11 077	188,914	700,791
	ιοται			511,877	-	
	Total Percent Enrollment by Major En	nployer Groups			Dependents	Total
		nployer Groups			Dependents 17.4%	<b>Total</b> 14.5%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En	ıployer Groups		Employees	-	
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5%	17.4%	14.5%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1%	17.4% 17.5%	14.5% 12.1%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools	ıployer Groups		<b>Employees</b> 13.5% 10.1% 33.1%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges	nployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges Other	nployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7% 3.1%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3% 4.7%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9% 3.5%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges Other Local Goverments	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7% 3.1% 1.0%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3% 4.7%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9% 3.5% 1.1%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges Other Local Goverments COBRA/Reduction in Force	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7% 3.1% 1.0% 0.1%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3% 4.7%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9% 3.5% 1.1% 0.2%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges Other Local Goverments COBRA/Reduction in Force Nat. Guard, Fire & Rescue	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7% 3.1% 1.0% 0.1% 0.0%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3% 4.7% 1.3% 0.2% 0.0%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9% 3.5% 1.1% 0.2% 0.0%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges Other Local Goverments COBRA/Reduction in Force	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7% 3.1% 1.0% 0.1%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3% 4.7%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9% 3.5% 1.1% 0.2%
	Percent Enrollment by Major En State Agencies UNC System Local Public Schools Charter Schools Local Community Colleges Other Local Goverments COBRA/Reduction in Force Nat. Guard, Fire & Rescue	ıployer Groups		Employees 13.5% 10.1% 33.1% 0.7% 3.1% 1.0% 0.1% 0.0%	17.4% 17.5% 47.3% 1.3% 4.7% 1.3% 0.2% 0.0%	14.5% 12.1% 36.9% 0.9% 3.5% 1.1% 0.2% 0.0%

#### SOURCES OF DATA:

The Segal Company; baseline financial projections updated through Q2 FY2016 with 0% employer and employee premium increase in 2017 and new PBM contract with open formulary; dated March 11, 2016. Filename "FY16 Q2- Baseline - 0% for ER and EE with PBM BAFO (Open).pdf"

-Actuarial Note, Hartman & Associates, House Bill 1121, "House Bill 1121: An Act Make Administrative Changes to the State Health Plan and to Make Changes to State Health Plan Premiums Paid by Local Governments", May 23, 2016, original of which is on file in the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division.

-Actuarial Note, The Segal Company, House Bill 1121, "State Health Plan Admin Changes", May 26, 2016, original of which is on file with the State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees and the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division.

#### FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: David Vanderweide

#### **APPROVED BY:**

Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division

**DATE:** June 7, 2016



Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices