GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

S

SENATE BILL 741

	Short Title:	Jurisdictional Amts/Arbitration/Sm Claims Ct.	(Public)		
Sponsors: Senators Goolsby; D. Berger, I		Senators Goolsby; D. Berger, Blake, Daniel, Forrester, Hise, and Vaugl	nan.		
	Referred to:	Judiciary I.			
		April 20, 2011			
1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED				
2	AN ACT TO	INCREASE THE JURISDICTIONAL AMOUNTS IN THE GENERAL	COURT		
3	OF JUSTICE, TO MAKE ARBITRATION MANDATORY IN CERTAIN CIVIL CASES,				
4	AND TO PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO THE COURT FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF				
5		COSTS AND ATTORNEYS' FEES IN SMALL CLAIMS MATTERS	. –		
6	AN ARBITRATOR'S DECISION IN FAVOR OF THE APPELLEE IS AFFIRMED ON				
7	APPEAL.				
8		Assembly of North Carolina enacts:			
9		ECTION 1. G.S. 7A-210 reads as rewritten:			
10		Small claim action defined.			
11	-	uses of this Article a small claim action is a civil action wherein:			
12	(1)		243. does		
13		not exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000); ten thousand dollars (\$			
14		and			
15	(2)) The only principal relief prayed is monetary, or the recovery of	specific		
16		personal property, or summary ejectment, or any combination	-		
17		foregoing in properly joined claims; and			
18	(3)		manner		
19		provided in this Article.			
20	The seeki	ing of the ancillary remedy of claim and delivery or an order from the	clerk of		
21	superior court for the relinquishment of property subject to a lien pursuant to G.S 44A-4(a)				
22	-	vent an action otherwise qualifying as a small claim under this Article			
23	qualifying."				
24		ECTION 2. G.S. 7A-243 reads as rewritten:			
25	"§ 7A-243.	Proper division for trial of civil actions generally determined by an	nount in		
26		ntroversy.			
27	Except as	otherwise provided in this Article, the district court division is the proper	division		
28	-	of all civil actions in which the amount in controversy is ten thousan			
29		enty thousand dollars (\$20,000) or less; and the superior court division			
30		on for the trial of all civil actions in which the amount in controversy exercise			
31	thousand doll	ars (\$10,000). twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000).			
32	For purpo	oses of determining the amount in controversy, the following rules apply	whether		
33	the relief pray	yed is monetary or nonmonetary, or both, and with respect to claims as	serted by		
34		unterclaim, cross-complaint or third-party complaint:	-		
35	(1)		nd costs.		
36	(2)) Where monetary relief is prayed, the amount prayed for is in con	ntroversy		
37		unless the pleading in question shows to a legal certainty that the			



	General Assembly of North Carolina Session 2011		
1	claimed cannot be recovered under the applicable measure of damages. The		
2	value of any property seized in attachment, claim and delivery, or other		
3	ancillary proceeding, is not in controversy and is not considered in		
4	determining the amount in controversy.		
5	(3) Where no monetary relief is sought, but the relief sought would establish,		
6	enforce, or avoid an obligation, right or title, the value of the obligation,		
7	right, or title is in controversy. Where the owner or legal possessor of		
8	property seeks recovery of property on which a lien is asserted pursuant to		
9	G.S. 44A-4(a) the amount in controversy is that portion of the asserted lien		
10	which is disputed. The judge may require by rule or order that parties make a		
1	good faith estimate of the value of any nonmonetary relief sought.		
2	(4) a. Except as provided in subparagraph c of this subdivision, where a single		
3	party asserts two or more properly joined claims, the claims are aggregated		
4	in computing the amount in controversy.		
5	b. Except as provided in subparagraph c, where there are two or more		
6	parties properly joined in an action and their interests are aligned,		
7	their claims are aggregated in computing the amount in controversy.		
8	c. No claims are aggregated which are mutually exclusive and in the		
19	alternative, or which are successive, in the sense that satisfaction of		
20	one claim will bar recovery upon the other.		
21	d. Where there are two or more claims not subject to aggregation the		
22	highest claim is the amount in controversy.		
23	(5) Where the value of the relief to a claimant differs from the cost thereof to an		
24	opposing party, the higher amount is used in determining the amount in		
25	controversy."		
26	SECTION 3. G.S. 7A-37.1 reads as rewritten:		
27	"§ 7A-37.1. Statewide court-ordered, nonbinding arbitration in certain civil actions.		
28	(a) The General Assembly finds that court-ordered, nonbinding arbitration may be a		
29	more economical, efficient and satisfactory procedure to resolve certain civil actions than by		
0	traditional civil litigation and therefore authorizes court-ordered nonbinding arbitration as an		
31	alternative civil procedure, subject to these provisions.		
32	(b) The Supreme Court of North Carolina may adopt rules governing this procedure and		
33	may supervise its implementation and operation through the Administrative Office of the		
34	Courts. These rules shall ensure that no party is deprived of the right to jury trial and that any		
35	party dissatisfied with an arbitration award may have trial de novo.		
86 77	(c) This procedure $\frac{\text{may shall}}{(0.15, 0.00)}$ be employed in <u>all</u> civil actions where claims do not		
87	exceed fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000), except that it shall not be employed in actions in		
38	which the sole claim is an action on an account, including appeals from magistrates on such		
39 10	actions. twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), unless all parties to the action waive arbitration		
0	under this section.		
1 2	(c1) In Except as provided in subsection (c2) of this section, in cases referred to		
	nonbinding arbitration as provided in this section, a fee of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) shall be assessed nor arbitration to be divided equally emong the partice to eaver the east of		
3 4	be assessed per arbitration, to be divided equally among the parties, to cover the cost of providing arbitrators. Easy assessed under this section shall be paid to the clerk of superior		
-4 -5	providing arbitrators. Fees assessed under this section shall be paid to the clerk of superior		
-5 -6	court in the county where the case was filed and remitted by the clerk to the State Treasurer. (c2) In appeals in small claims actions under Article 19 of Chapter 7A of the General		
+0 -7	Statutes, if (i) the arbitrator finds in favor of the appellee, (ii) the arbitrator's decision is		
- 8	appealed for trial de novo under G.S. 7A-229, and (iii) the arbitrator's decision is affirmed on		
19	appealed for that de novo under G.S. 7A-229, and (iii) the arbitrator's decision is armined on appeal, then the court shall consider the fact that the arbitrator's decision was affirmed as a		
50	significant factor in favor of assessing all court costs and attorneys' fees associated with the		
0	significant factor in favor of assessing an court costs and attorneys rees associated with the		

General Assembly of North Carolina

case in both the original action and the two appeals, including the arbitration fee assessed under 1 2 subsection (c1) of this section, against the appellant. This procedure may be implemented in a judicial district, in selected counties within 3 (d) 4 a district, or in any court within a district, if the Director of the Administrative Office of the 5 Courts, and the cognizant Senior Resident Superior Court Judge or the Chief District Court 6 Judge of any court selected for this procedure, determine that use of this procedure may assist 7 in the administration of justice toward achieving objectives stated in subsection (a) of this 8 section in a judicial district, county, or court. The Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts, acting upon the recommendation of the cognizant Senior Resident Superior Court 9 10 Judge or Chief District Court Judge of any court selected for this procedure, may terminate this 11 procedure in any judicial district, county, or court upon a determination that its use has not 12 accomplished objectives stated in subsection (a) of this section.

(e) Arbitrators in this procedure shall have the same immunity as judges from civil
liability for their official conduct."

15 SECTION 4. This act becomes effective July 1, 2011, and applies to actions filed
16 on or after that date.