GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2009

Η

HOUSE BILL 137* Committee Substitute Favorable 7/14/09

	Short Title: Capital Procedure/Severe Mental Disability.				
	Sponsors:				
	Referred to:				
	February 12, 2009				
1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED				
2	AN ACT TO AMEND THE CAPITAL TRIAL, SENTENCING, AND POST-CONVICTION				
3	PROCEDURES FOR A PERSON WITH A SEVERE MENTAL DISABILITY.				
4	Whereas, leading State and national mental health organizations have called for a				
5	prohibition on imposition of the death penalty for persons with a severe mental disability at the				
6	time of the commission of the crime; and				
7	Whereas, specifically, the American Psychological Association, the American				
8	Psychiatric Association, and the National Alliance on Mental Illness have all called for the				
9	exclusion of persons with a severe mental disability from the imposition of the death penal	ty;			
10	and				
11	Whereas, the American Bar Association recently endorsed the call for the end of t	the			
12	death penalty for persons with a severe mental disability; Now, therefore,				
13	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:				
14	SECTION 1. Article 100 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended	by			
15	adding a new section to read:				
16	" <u>§ 15A-2007. Defendant with severe mental disability; death sentence prohibited.</u>				
17	(a) Definition. – For purposes of this section, the term "severe mental disability" mea				
18	any mental disability or defect that significantly impairs a person's capacity to do any of t				
19 20	following: (i) appreciate the nature, consequences, or wrongfulness of the person's conduct; (ii)				
20	exercise rational judgment in relation to conduct; or (iii) conform the person's conduct to the				
21 22	requirements of the law. A mental disability manifested primarily by repeated criminal conduct				
22	or attributable solely to the acute effects of alcohol or other drugs does not, standing alone, constitute a severe mental disability for purposes of this section.				
23 24	(b) Death Penalty Prohibited for Defendant with Severe Mental Disability at Time	of			
24 25	<u>Commission of Criminal Offense. – Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary,</u>				
25 26	defendant who had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the crimin				
20	offense shall be sentenced to death.	111			
28	(c) Pretrial Hearing to Determine Severe Mental Disability. – Upon motion of t	tho			
29	defendant, supported by appropriate affidavits, the court shall order a pretrial hearing				
30	determine if the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the				
31	offense. The defendant has the burden of production and persuasion to demonstrate by clear				
32	and convincing evidence that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the				
33	criminal offense. If the court determines that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the				
34	time of the criminal offense, the court shall declare the case noncapital, and the State shall not				
35	seek the death penalty against the defendant.				



General Assembly Of North Carolina Session 2009
(d) Pretrial Determination Does Not Affect Legal Defenses. – The pretrial
determination of the court shall not preclude the defendant from raising any legal defense
during the trial.
(e) Procedure at Sentencing Hearing Regarding Determination of Severe Mental
Disability If the court does not find in the pretrial proceeding that the defendant had a severe
mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense, the defendant may
introduce evidence during the sentencing hearing regarding the disability. If, during the
sentencing hearing, the defendant introduces evidence regarding the disability, the court shall
submit a special issue to the jury as to whether the defendant had a severe mental disability at
the time of the commission of the criminal offense. These special issues shall be considered and
answered by the jury prior to the consideration of aggravating or mitigating factors and the
determination of sentence. If the jury determines that the defendant had a severe mental
disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense, the court shall declare the case
noncapital, and the defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment without parole.
(f) Burden of Production and Persuasion. – The defendant has the burden of production
and persuasion to demonstrate to the jury by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant
had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense.
(g) Jury Consideration of Severe Mental Disability. – If the jury determines that the
defendant did not have a severe mental disability as defined by this section at the time of the
commission of the criminal offense, the jury may consider any evidence of the disability
presented during the sentencing hearing when determining mitigating factors and the
defendant's sentence.
(h) Penalties that May Be Imposed on Convicted Defendant with a Severe Mental
Disability. – The provisions of this section do not preclude the sentencing of an offender who
has a severe mental disability as defined by this section to any other sentence authorized by
G.S. 14-17 for the crime of murder in the first degree."
SECTION 2. G.S. 15A-2000(b) reads as rewritten:
"(b) Sentence Recommendation by the Jury. – Instructions determined by the trial judge
to be warranted by the evidence shall be given by the court in its charge to the jury prior to its
deliberation in determining sentence. The court shall give appropriate instructions in those
cases in which evidence of the defendant's mental retardation requires the consideration by the
jury of the provisions of G.S. 15A-2005. The court shall also give appropriate instructions in
those cases in which evidence of the defendant's severe mental disability requires the
consideration by the jury of the provisions of G.S. 15A-2007. In all cases in which the death
penalty may be authorized, the judge shall include in his instructions to the jury that it must
consider any aggravating circumstance or circumstances or mitigating circumstance or
circumstances from the lists provided in subsections (e) and (f) which may be supported by the
evidence, and shall furnish to the jury a written list of issues relating to such aggravating or
mitigating circumstance or circumstances.
After hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and instructions of the court, the jury shall
deliberate and render a sentence recommendation to the court, based upon the following
· · ·
matters: (1) Whether any sufficient aggregating circumstance or circumstances as
(1) Whether any sufficient aggravating circumstance or circumstances as
enumerated in subsection (e) exist;
(2) Whether any sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances as
enumerated in subsection (f), which outweigh the aggravating circumstance
or circumstances found, exist; and
(3) Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to
death or to imprisonment in the State's prison for life.
The sentence recommendation must be agreed upon by a unanimous vote of the 12 jurors.
Upon delivery of the sentence recommendation by the foreman of the jury, the jury shall be

	General Assem	bly Of North Carolina Session 2009				
1	individually polled to establish whether each juror concurs and agrees to the sentence					
2		recommendation returned.				
3		If the jury cannot, within a reasonable time, unanimously agree to its sentence				
4	recommendation, the judge shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment; provided, however,					
5	that the judge shall in no instance impose the death penalty when the jury cannot agree					
6	•	ts sentence recommendation."				
7		FION 3. Article 100 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended by				
8 9	adding a new sec					
9 10		equest for postconviction determination of severe mental disability. which the defendant has been convicted of first degree murder, sentenced to				
10		custody awaiting imposition of the death penalty, the following procedures				
12	<u>apply:</u>	custody awaiting imposition of the death penaity, the following procedures				
12	<u>appry.</u> (1)	Notwithstanding any other provision or time limitation contained in Article				
13	<u>(1)</u>	89 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes, a defendant may seek appropriate				
15		relief from the defendant's death sentence upon the ground that the defendant				
16		had a severe mental disability, as defined in G.S. 15A-2007(a), at the time of				
17		the commission of the capital crime.				
18	<u>(2)</u>	A motion seeking appropriate relief from a death sentence on the ground that				
19	<u>_/</u>	the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of				
20		the capital crime, shall be filed:				
21		a. On or before April 1, 2010, if the defendant's conviction and				
22		sentence of death were entered prior to December 1, 2009.				
23		b. Within 150 days of the imposition of a sentence of death, if the				
24		defendant's trial was in progress on December 1, 2009. For purposes				
25		of this section, a trial is considered to be in progress if the process of				
26		jury selection has begun.				
27	(3)	The motion, seeking relief from a death sentence upon the ground that the				
28		defendant had a severe mental disability, shall comply with the provisions of				
29		G.S. 15A-1420. The procedures and hearing on the motion shall follow and				
30		comply with G.S. 15A-1420. Upon motion of the defendant, supported by				
31		appropriate affidavits, the court shall order a hearing to determine if the				
32		defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the				
33		offense.				
34	<u>(4)</u>	If the court determines that the defendant had a severe mental disability at				
35		the time of the commission of the criminal offense, the death sentence shall				
36		be vacated and the defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment without				
37		parole."				
38		FION 4. There is appropriated from the General Fund to the Judicial				
39		sum of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) for the 2009-2010 fiscal year for				
40		providing superior court judges with information and training on the				
41	requirements of					
42		FION 5. Sections 1 and 2 of this act become effective December 1, 2009, and				
43	11.	ocketed to begin on or after that date. Section 3 of this act becomes effective				
44		December 1, 2009, and expires December 1, 2010. Section 4 of this act becomes effective July				
45	1, 2009. Section 5 of this act is effective when it becomes law.					

45 1, 2009. Section 5 of this act is effective when it becomes law.