

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA  
SESSION 2007

H

3

HOUSE BILL 1113  
Senate Judiciary I (Civil) Committee Substitute Adopted 7/3/08  
Third Edition Engrossed 7/7/08

Short Title: State Tort Claims/Public Duty Doctrine. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

March 28, 2007

1 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

2 AN ACT TO LIMIT THE USE OF THE PUBLIC DUTY DOCTRINE AS AN  
3 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE FOR CLAIMS UNDER THE STATE TORT CLAIMS  
4 ACT IN WHICH THE INJURIES OF THE CLAIMANT ARE THE RESULT OF  
5 THE ALLEGED NEGLIGENT FAILURE OF CERTAIN PARTIES TO PROTECT  
6 CLAIMANTS FROM THE ACTION OF OTHERS.

7 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

8       **SECTION 1.** Article 31 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes is amended  
9 by adding a new section to read:

10       "§ 143-299.1A. Limit use of public duty doctrine as an affirmative defense.

11       (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, the public duty doctrine  
12 is an affirmative defense on the part of the State department, institution, or agency  
13 against which a claim is asserted if and only if the injury of the claimant is the result of  
14 any of the following:

15           (1) The alleged negligent failure to protect the claimant from the action of  
16 others or from an act of God by a law enforcement officer as defined  
17 in subsection (d) of this section.

18           (2) The alleged negligent failure of an officer, employee, involuntary  
19 servant or agent of the State to perform a health or safety inspection  
20 required by statute.

21       (b) Notwithstanding subsection (a) of this section, the affirmative defense of the  
22 public duty doctrine may not be asserted in any of the following instances:

23           (1) Where there is a special relationship between the claimant and the  
24 officer, employee, involuntary servant or agent of the State.

25           (2) When the State, through its officers, employees, involuntary servants  
26 or agents, has created a special duty owed to the claimant and the  
27 claimant's reliance on that duty is causally related to the injury  
28 suffered by the claimant.

1                   (3) Where the alleged failure to perform a health or safety inspection  
2                   required by statute was the result of gross negligence.

3                   (c) Nothing in this section shall limit the assertion of the public duty doctrine as a  
4                   defense on the part of a unit of local government or its officers, employees, or agents.

5                   (d) For purposes of this section, "law enforcement officer" means a full-time or  
6                   part-time employee or agent of a State department, institution, or agency or an agent of  
7                   the State operating under an agreement with a State department, institution, or agency of  
8                   the State who is any of the following:

9                   (1) Actively serving in a position with assigned primary duties and  
10                  responsibilities for prevention and detection of crime or the general  
11                  enforcement of the criminal laws of the State or serving civil  
12                  processes.

13                  (2) Possesses the power of arrest by virtue of an oath administered under  
14                  the authority of the State.

15                  (3) Is a juvenile justice officer, chief court counselor, or juvenile court  
16                  counselor.

17                  (4) Is a correctional officer performing duties of custody, supervision, and  
18                  treatment to control and rehabilitate criminal offenders.

19                  (5) Is a firefighter as defined in G.S. 113-60.32(1).

20                  (6) Is a probation officer appointed under Article 20 of Chapter 15 of the  
21                  General Statutes."

22                  **SECTION 2.** This act becomes effective October 1, 2008, and applies to  
23 claims arising on or after that date.