## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2003

H HOUSE BILL 1208

Short Title: Extend Swine Moratoria. (Public)

Sponsors: Representative Hunter.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Referred to: Environment and Natural Resources.

## April 10, 2003

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO EXTEND THE MORATORIA ON CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION OF SWINE FARMS.

Whereas, seasonal rainfall continues to threaten the structural integrity of swine waste lagoons and to reduce the absorptive capacity of land on to which swine waste is sprayed, both of which increase the likelihood of swine waste runoff into surface water and pose a threat to human health; and

Whereas, the General Assembly finds that, given the risk to surface water, groundwater, air, and public health associated with current methods of swine waste management, a statewide conversion of anaerobic swine waste lagoons and sprayfields to environmentally superior technologies is necessary and in the public interest; and

Whereas, the 1997 General Assembly established moratoria on the construction or expansion of certain swine farms and on lagoons and animal waste management systems for certain swine farms; and

Whereas, one of the original purposes of these moratoria was to allow completion of certain studies related to swine farms and animal waste management systems; and

Whereas, the 1998 General Assembly extended these moratoria and established exceptions for animal waste management systems that meet certain performance standards; and

Whereas, the 1999 General Assembly and the 2001 General Assembly further extended the moratoria so that moratoria have remained in effect continuously since 1 March 1997; and

Whereas, on 25 July 2000, the Attorney General of North Carolina entered into an agreement with Smithfield Foods, Incorporated, and certain other companies; and

Whereas, on 29 September 2000, the Attorney General of North Carolina entered into an agreement with Premium Standard Farms, Incorporated, and certain other companies; and

Whereas, on 13 March 2002, the Attorney General of North Carolina entered into an agreement with Frontline Farmers, Incorporated; and

S

Whereas, the companies that are parties to these agreements constitute a significant portion of the swine production capacity of the State; and

 Whereas, these agreements commit the companies that are parties to these agreements to work cooperatively to identify animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible; and

Whereas, the companies that are parties to these agreements have agreed to provide substantial resources to assist the State in the identification of animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible; and

Whereas, the Animal and Poultry Waste Management Center at North Carolina State University is currently evaluating a number of animal waste management technologies in order to identify one or more technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible, as provided in the Smithfield and related agreements; and

Whereas, on 28 January 2003, the Environmental Review Commission received a report from the Animal and Poultry Waste Management Center on progress in the evaluation of animal waste management technologies; and

Whereas, based on this report, it appears that additional time will be needed to complete the evaluation of all technologies currently being evaluated; and

Whereas, the Smithfield and related agreements commit the companies that are parties to these agreements to apply animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible on all company-owned farms within three years of the identification of the technologies; and

Whereas, the General Assembly intends that those integrators and growers who can afford the cost of conversion to animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible do so; and

Whereas, the companies that are parties to the Smithfield and related agreements are committed to provide the financial and technical assistance to their contract growers necessary to enable those growers to convert to animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible within three years of the identification of the technologies; and

Whereas, some time will be required for the companies that are parties to the Smithfield and related agreements to identify the means by which they will provide this financial and technical assistance; and

Whereas, some time will be required to identify available cost-share funds or other financial or technical assistance that may be available from federal, State, or other sources, including tax incentives, to support conversion to animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly

1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43 44 and that are economically feasible by those growers who cannot afford the cost of conversion; and

Whereas, the General Assembly will need some time to consider the results of the evaluation of animal waste management technologies by the Animal and Poultry Waste Management Center at North Carolina State University once the evaluation has been completed and to enact whatever legislation it determines to be appropriate; and

Whereas, it further appears that some time may be required for the implementation of any legislation that may be enacted by the General Assembly; Now, therefore,

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

**SECTION 1.** Subsection (a1) of Section 1.1 of S.L. 1997-458, as amended by Section 2 of S.L. 1998-188, Section 2.1 of S.L. 1999-329, and Section 1 of S.L. 2001-254, reads as rewritten:

"(a1) There is hereby established a moratorium on the construction or expansion of swine farms and on lagoons and animal waste management systems for swine farms. The purposes of this moratorium are to allow counties time to adopt zoning ordinances under G.S. 153A-340, as amended by Section 2.1 of this act; to allow time for the completion of the studies authorized by the 1995 General Assembly (1996 Second Extra Session); and to allow the 1999 to allow time for the completion of ongoing evaluations of animal waste management technologies and related research and studies; to allow the General Assembly to receive and act on the findings and recommendations of those studies.evaluations, research, and studies; and to allow for the implementation of any legislation that may be enacted. Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, the Environmental Management Commission shall not issue a permit for an animal waste management system for a new swine farm or the expansion of an existing swine farm for a period beginning on 1 March 1997 and ending on 1 September 2003.2007. The construction or expansion of a swine farm or animal waste management system for a swine farm is prohibited during the period of the moratorium regardless of the date on which a site evaluation for the swine farm is completed and regardless of whether the animal waste management system is permitted under G.S. 143-215.1 or Part 1A of Article 21 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes or deemed permitted under 15A North Carolina Administrative Code 2H.0217."

**SECTION 2.** Section 1.2 of S.L. 1997-458, as amended by Section 3 of S.L. 1998-188, Section 2.2 of S.L. 1999-329, and Section 2 of S.L. 2001-254, reads as rewritten:

"Section 1.2. (a) As used in this section, 'swine farm' and 'lagoon' have the same meaning as in G.S. 106-802. As used in this section, 'animal waste management system' has the same meaning as in G.S. 143-215.10B. There is hereby established a moratorium for any new or expanding swine farm or lagoon for which a permit is required under Parts 1 or 1A of Article 21 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes in any county in the State: (i) that has a population of less than 75,000 according to the most recent decennial federal census; (ii) in which there is more than one hundred fifty million dollars (\$150,000,000) of expenditures for travel and tourism based on the most recent figures of the Department of Commerce; and (iii) that is not in the coastal area as

1 2

- defined by G.S. 113A-103. Effective 1 January 1997, until 1 September 2003,2007, the Environmental Management Commission shall not issue a permit for an animal waste management system, as defined in G.S. 143-215.10B, or for a new or expanded swine farm or lagoon, as defined in G.S. 106-802. The exemptions set out in subsection (b) of Section 1.1 of this act do not apply to the moratorium established under this section.
- (b) In order to protect travel and tourism, effective 1 September 2003,2007, no animal waste management system shall be permitted except under an individual permit issued under Part 1 of Article 21 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes in any county in the State: (i) that has a population of less than 75,000 according to the most recent decennial federal census; (ii) in which there is more than one hundred fifty million dollars (\$150,000,000) of expenditures for travel and tourism based on the most recent figures of the Department of Commerce; and (iii) that is not in the coastal area as defined by G.S. 113A-103."
- **SECTION 3.** If any section or provision of this act is declared unconstitutional or invalid by the courts, the unconstitutional or invalid section or provision does not affect the validity of this act as a whole or any part of this act other than the part declared to be unconstitutional or invalid.

**SECTION 4.** This act is effective when it becomes law.