NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY



JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

REPORT TO THE 2014 SESSION of the 2013 GENERAL ASSEMBLY

MAY 13, 2014

A LIMITED NUMBER OF COPIES OF THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION THROUGH THE LEGISLATIVE LIBRARY

ROOM 500 LEGISLATIVE OFFICE BUILDING RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27603-5925 TELEPHONE: (919) 733-9390

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Transmittal Letter	7
Statutory Authority	9
Committee Membership	11
Committee Proceedings	13
Committee Recommendations	19
Legislative Proposals	21

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

May 13, 2014

[Back to Top]

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE 2014 REGULAR SESSION OF THE 2013 GENERAL ASSEMBLY

The Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee herewith submits to you for your consideration its report pursuant to G.S. 120-70.101(8)

Respectfully submitted,

Senator Fletcher L. Hartsell, Jr.

Representative Tim D. Moffitt

Co-Chairs Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

[Back to Top]

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL STATUTES

ARTICLE 12K.

Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee.

§ 120-70.100. Creation and membership of Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee.

(a) The Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee is established. The Committee consists of 16 members as follows:

- (1) Eight members of the Senate appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, at least three of whom are members of the minority party.
- (2) Eight members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, at least three of whom are members of the minority party.

(b) Members of the Committee shall serve a term of two years beginning on January 15 of each odd-numbered year. Members may complete a term of service on the Committee even if they do not seek reelection or are not reelected to the General Assembly, but resignation or removal from service in the General Assembly constitutes resignation or removal from service on the Committee. A member continues to serve until the member's successor is appointed. A vacancy shall be filled within 30 days by the officer who made the original appointment.

§ 120-70.101. Purpose and powers of Committee.

The Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee has the following powers and duties:

- (1) To review rules to which the Rules Review Commission has objected to determine if statutory changes are needed to enable the agency to fulfill the intent of the General Assembly.
- (2) To receive reports prepared by the Rules Review Commission containing the text and a summary of each rule approved by the Commission.
- (3) Repealed by Session Laws 2009-125, s. 1, effective October 1, 2009.
- (3a) To review the activities of State occupational licensing boards to determine if the boards are operating in accordance with statutory requirements and if the boards are still necessary to achieve the purposes for which they were created. This review shall not include decisions concerning board personnel matters or determinations on individual licensing applications or individual disciplinary actions.
- (4) To review State regulatory programs to determine if the programs overlap, have conflicting goals, or could be simplified and still achieve the purpose of the regulation.

- (5) To review existing rules to determine if the rules are necessary or if the rules can be streamlined.
- (6) To review the rule-making process to determine if the procedures for adopting rules give the public adequate notice of and information about proposed rules.
- (7) To review any other concerns about administrative law to determine if statutory changes are needed.
- (8) To report to the General Assembly from time to time concerning the Committee's activities and any recommendations for statutory changes.

§ 120-70.102. Organization of Committee.

(a) The President Pro Tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives shall each designate a cochair of the Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee. The Committee shall meet at least once a quarter and may meet at other times upon the joint call of the cochairs.

(b) A quorum of the Committee is nine members. No action may be taken except by a majority vote at a meeting at which a quorum is present. While in the discharge of its official duties, the Committee has the powers of a joint committee under G.S. 120-19 and G.S. 120-19.1 through G.S. 120-19.4.

(c) Members of the Committee receive subsistence and travel expenses as provided in G.S. 120-3.1. The Committee may contract for consultants or hire employees in accordance with G.S. 120-32.02. The Committee may meet in the Legislative Building or the Legislative Office Building upon the approval of the Legislative Services Commission. The Legislative Services Commission, through the Legislative Services Officer, shall assign professional staff to assist the Committee in its work. Upon the direction of the Legislative Services Commission, the Supervisors of Clerks of the Senate and of the House of Representatives shall assign clerical staff to the Committee. The expenses for clerical employees shall be paid by the Committee.

§ 120-70.103: Repealed by Session Laws 2009-125, s. 5, effective October 1, 2009.

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

[Back to Top]

JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

2013-2014 Membership

Senator Fletcher L. Hartsell, Jr., Chair	Representative Tim Moffitt, Chair
300-C Legislative Office Building	2119 Legislative Building
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
(919) 733-7223	(919) 715-3012
Fletcher.Hartsell@ncleg.net	<u>Tim.Moffitt@ncleg.net</u>
Senator Dan Blue	Representative Tom Murry, Vice Chair
1117 Legislative Building	2121 Legislative Building
Raleigh, North Carolina 27601	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
(919) 733-5752	(919) 733-5602
<u>Dan.Blue@ncleg.net</u>	<u>Tom.Murry@ncleg.net</u>
Senator Harry Brown	Representative Jeff Collins
300-B Legislative Office Building	1106 Legislative Building
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
(919) 715-3034	(919)733-5802
<u>Harry.Brown@ncleg.net</u>	Jeff.Collins@ncleg.net
Senator Warren T. Daniel	Representative Elmer Floyd
1127 Legislative Building	1325 Legislative Building
Raleigh, North Carolina 27601	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
(919) 715-7823	(919) 733-5959
<u>Warren.Daniel@ncleg.net</u>	<u>Elmer.Floyd@ncleg.net</u>
Senator Brent Jackson	Representative Rick Glazier
525 Legislative Office Building	1021 Legislative Building
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
(919) 733-5705	(919) 733-5601
<u>Brent.Jackson@ncleg.net</u>	<u>Rick.Glazier@ncleg.net</u>
(DECEASED) Senator Martin Nesbitt, Jr. 1129 Legislative Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27601 (919) 715-3001 <u>Martin.Nesbitt@ncleg.net</u>	Representative Darren G. Jackson 1013 Legislative Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27601 (919) 733-5974 Darren.Jackson@ncleg.net

Senator Gladys Robinson 1120 Legislative Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27601 (919) 715-3042 <u>Gladys.Robinson@ncleg.net</u>	Representative Jonathan C. Jordan420 Legislative Office BuildingRaleigh, North Carolina 27603(919) 733-7727Jonathan.Jordan@ncleg.netRepresentative Sarah Stevens635 Legislative Office BuildingRaleigh, North Carolina 27603(919) 715-1883Sarah.Stevens@ncleg.net
Staff: Ms. Karen Cochrane-Brown Research Division 545 Legislative Office Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 733-2578	Mr. Jeff Hudson Research Division 545 Legislative Office Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 733-2578
Karen.Cochrane-Brown@ncleg.net Mr. Jennifer Hillman Research Division 545 Legislative Office Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 733-2578 Jennifer.Hillman@ncleg.net	Jeff.Hudson@ncleg.net Mr. Mark Bondo Fiscal Research Division 619 Legislative Office Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 733-4910 Mark.Bondo@ncleg.net
Mr. Chris Saunders Research Division 545 Legislative Office Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 733-2578 <u>Chris.Saunders@ncleg.net</u>	Ms. Lisa Kennedy 2119 Legislative Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27601 (919) 715-3012 Lisa.Kennedy@ncleg.net
Ms. DeAnne Mangum 300-B Legislative Office Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 733-2405 <u>DeAnne.Mangum@ncleg.net</u>	

COMMITTEE PROCEEDINGS

[Back to Top]

The Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee (Committee) met six times during the interim between the 2013 and the 2014 Regular Sessions of the General Assembly. The Committee's Charge can be found <u>here</u>. The following is a brief summary of the Committee's proceedings. Detailed minutes and information from each Committee meeting are available in the Legislative Library and <u>online</u>.

November 5, 2013

The Committee met on Tuesday, November 5, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building (LOB).

- Senator Fletcher L. Hartsell chaired the Committee and presented a brief review of the topics to be discussed;
- Legislative members and staff introduced themselves;
- Ms. Karen Cochrane-Brown, Committee Co-Counsel, provided a background of the Administrative Procedure Act, an overview of the rulemaking process, and discussed the differences between federal and State laws;
- Mr. Jeff Hudson, Committee Co-Counsel, gave a review of the bills introduced from recommendations of the 2012 Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee to the 2013 General Assembly;
- Judge Julian Mann, Chief Administrative Law Judge and Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings, gave a brief synopsis of the authority, organization, and workings of the Office of Administrative Hearings;
- Ms. Molly Masich, Codifier of Rules, Office of Administrative Hearings, provided a report on the implementation of existing rules review program;
- The Committee discussed potential issues for future consideration;
- A date was then set for the next meeting followed by adjournment.

December 3, 2013

The Committee met on Tuesday, December 3, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building (LOB).

- After introductory remarks by the Committee Co-Chair, Representative Tim D. Moffitt, the minutes were approved for the previous meeting on November 5, 2013;
- Ms. Karen Cochrane-Brown, Committee Co-Counsel, provided an update on compliance by occupational licensing boards submitting an annual report and financial statement prior to the October 31 annual deadline;
- Mr. Jody Tyson, Taylor-Tyson Funeral Services, contended that the Funeral Services Board was instituting unnecessary fee increases. Mr. Peter Burke, Executive Director of the North Carolina Board of Funeral Services gave additional details on the operations of the Funeral Services Board. Mr. Burke said that the Board has not had a fee increase since 1991. The Board would like fees increased so they can hire an additional inspector and initiate online registration;
- Ms. Lynda Elliot, Executive Director of the North Carolina Board of Cosmetic Arts Examiners (Cosmetology Board), explained the disagreement between the Cosmetology Board and the Barber Board with regard to facial shaves. Mr. Wayne Mixon, Executive Director of the North Carolina Barber Board, stated that the Cosmetology Board has ignored the Barber Board's rules by allowing 48 salons to use the name "barber" in their names, salons, and/or advertising. Mr. Mixon had concerns that cosmetologists are not being properly trained on razor use. Ms. Elliot said that although the curriculums are different, cosmetologist are trained on razor use;
- Ms. Ruth Foster, BSN, MA, DSc Candidate, expressed concerns that current law only recognizes the licensing of registered dieticians, not holistic nutritionists and suggested that the licensing requirement should be eliminated. Ms. Charla Burrill, Executive Director of the North Carolina Board of Dietetics and Nutrition, said that licensure is necessary to protect the public;
- Mr. John Turcotte, Director of the Program Evaluation Division (PED), North Carolina General Assembly, gave an update on the PED Study of Occupational Licensing Boards;
- Mr. Jeff Hudson, Committee Co-Counsel, gave an update on the review of rulemaking exemptions;
- A date was then set for the next meeting followed by adjournment.

February 4, 2014

The Committee met on Tuesday, February 4, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building (LOB).

The following business was conducted:

- After introductory remarks by the Committee Co-Chair, Senator Fletcher L. Hartsell, Jr., the minutes were approved for the previous meeting on December 3, 2013;
- Ms. Karen Cochrane-Brown, Committee Co-Counsel, provided an updated chart of the 2013 Occupational Licensing Board Annual Report/Financial Statement submissions. The update reflected receipt of reports from several licensing boards that failed to submit their reports and financial statements before the October 31 annual deadline. There was Committee discussion on potential legislation with regard to non-compliance;
- Mr. Jeff Hudson, Committee Co-Counsel, provided a review of the rulemaking exemption study authorized by HB 74 in the last session and the letters that were sent to the exempt agencies. Representatives from the Department of Commerce, the University of North Carolina, the State Board of Community Colleges, and the State Board of Education, provided agency responses;
- Ms. Molly Masich, Codifier of Rules, Office of Administrative Hearings, provided an update on the implementation of existing rules review program.
- A date was then set for the next meeting followed by adjournment.

March 4, 2014

The Committee met on Tuesday, March 4, 2014 at 3:00 p.m. in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building (LOB).

- After introductory remarks by the Committee Co-Chair, Representative Tim D. Moffitt, the minutes were approved for the previous meeting on February 4, 2014;
- Representatives from the State Board of Elections, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Health and Human Services, and the Department of Revenue, provided agency responses to the review of exemptions from rulemaking;

- Mr. Scott Makey, Chairman of the North Carolina Landscape Contractors' Registration Board, gave a review of issues related to the Board and sought the committee's support for a bill to convert the Board to a licensing board;
- Judge Julian Mann, Chief Administrative Law Judge and Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings, gave an update of the electronic filing system for the Office of Administrative Hearings;
- A date was then set for the next meeting followed by adjournment.

<u>April 1, 2014</u>

The Committee met on Tuesday, April 1, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building (LOB).

The following business was conducted:

- After introductory remarks by the Committee Co-Chair, Representative Tim D. Moffitt, the minutes were approved for the previous meeting on March 4, 2014;
- Representatives from the Department of Cultural Resources and the Department of Public Safety, provided agency responses to the review of exemptions from rulemaking;
- Ms. Karen Cochrane-Brown, Committee Co-Counsel, discussed administrative law issues raised in a recent court decision;
- Judge Julian Mann, Chief Administrative Law Judge and Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings, discussed burden of proof issues in certain contested cases;
- Mr. Wendell Bullard, Chair of the North Carolina High School for Accelerated Learning, Inc., discussed the procedure for approval of charter schools;
- Ms. Molly Masich, Codifier of Rules, Office of Administrative Hearings, provided an update on the existing rules review program;
- A date was then set for the next meeting followed by adjournment.

May 13, 2014

The Committee met on Tuesday, May 13, 2014 at 1:00 p.m. in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building (LOB).

- Ms. Karen Cochrane-Brown, Committee Co-Counsel, reviewed the draft interim report and summarized each of the 8 legislative proposals. An amendment was offered and adopted for one of the proposals. The committee approved the draft report as amended.
- Mr. Randy Phillips, Chair, NC Board of Law Examiners, presented a proposal related to the expunction of criminal records.
- Mr. Benjamin Downing, Legislative Liaison, Office of State Human Resources presented a proposal for amendments to the Human Resources law.
- The Chair outlined a list of issues that the committee may consider after the short session. The meeting was then adjourned.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

[Back to Top]

The Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee (Committee) offers the following recommendations:

Eliminate Obsolete Boards and Commissions

Proposes to eliminate various obsolete boards and commissions including, the Small Business Contractor Authority, the Committee on Dropout Prevention, the State Education Commission, National Heritage Area Designation Commission, Governor's Management Council, Board of Director's of the North Carolina Center for Nursing, Board of Corrections. The bill would also encourage the Chief Justice of the North Carolina Supreme Court to abolish the Actual Innocence Commission.

<u>Clarify Existing Rule Readoption Process</u>

Proposes to clarify the process for readoption of existing rules in accordance with the periodic review and expiration of existing rules provision of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA).

Licensing Boards Rules for Professional Corporations

Proposes to authorize licensing boards subject to Chapter 55B of the General Statutes (<u>Professional Corporation Act</u>) to adopt rules to implement the provisions of the Chapter.

<u>Amend Occupational Licensing Boards Reporting Requirements</u>

Proposes to amend the reporting requirements for occupational licensing boards to include the total number of licensees supervised by the board and require the Committee to notify any board that fails to file the reports as required.

• Office of Administrative Hearings Electronic Filing

Proposes to authorize the Office of Administrative Hearings to allow documents in a contested case to be filed electronically.

• <u>State Board of Education Rulemaking Clarification</u>

Proposes to clarify that the State Board of Education is subject to rulemaking under the APA and provide a remedy when an agency fails to act as directed by statute.

• <u>Streamline Rulemaking Process</u>

Proposes to streamline the rulemaking process by eliminating the requirement that an agency obtain a certification of adherence to rulemaking principles prior to submitting the proposed text of a rule for publication.

• Burden of Proof in Contested Cases

Proposes to clarify that the petitioner has the burden of proof in most contested cases and establish that the State agency has the burden of proof in certain contested cases.

LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS

[Back to Top]

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2013

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-16 [v.2] (04/02)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 4/28/2014 11:40:37 AM

Short Title:	Eliminate Obsolete Boards and Commissions.	(Public)
Sponsors:	Unknown (Primary Sponsor).	
Referred to:		

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO ELIMINATE OBSOLETE BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS, AS
3	RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE
4	PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.
5	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
6	
7	PART I. REPEAL THE SMALL BUSINESS CONTRACTOR ACT AND THE
8	SMALL BUSINESS CONTRACTOR AUTHORITY
9	SECTION 1. Part 20 of Article 10 of Chapter 143B of the General Statutes is
10	repealed.
11	
12	PART II. PROVIDE THAT THE COMMITTEE ON DROPOUT PREVENTION
13	SHALL TERMINATE ON JULY 1, 2013
14	SECTION 2. Section 7.32(e) of S.L. 2007-323, as rewritten by Section
15	7.14(a) of S.L. 2008-107 and Section 7.19(e) of S.L. 2010-31, reads as rewritten:
16	"SECTION 7.32.(e) Report. – The Committee shall report to the Joint Legislative
17	Commission on Dropout Prevention and High School Graduation created in subsection
18	(f) of this section by December 1, 2007, on the grants awarded under subsection (d) of
19	this section. The Committee shall terminate July 1, 2014."
20	
21	PART III. ELIMINATE THE STATE EDUCATION COMMISSION
22	ESTABLISHED IN CHAPTER 116C OF THE GENERAL STATUTES
23	SECTION 3.(a) G.S. 116C-1 reads as rewritten:
24	"§ 116C-1. Education Cabinet created.
25	(a) The Education Cabinet is created. The Education Cabinet shall be located
26	administratively within, and shall exercise its powers within existing resources of, the

D

Office of the Governor. However, the Education Cabinet shall exercise its statutory 1 2 powers independently of the Office of the Governor. The Education Cabinet shall consist of the Governor, who shall serve as chair, 3 (b) 4 the President of The University of North Carolina, the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Chairman of the State Board of Education, the President of the North 5 Carolina Community Colleges System, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and 6 7 the President of the North Carolina Independent Colleges and Universities. The 8 Education Cabinet may invite other representatives of education to participate in its 9 deliberations as adjunct members. 10 The Education Cabinet shall be a nonvoting body that: (c) Works to resolve issues between existing providers of education. 11 (1)12 Sets the agenda for the State Education Commission. (2)13 (3) Develops a strategic design for a continuum of education programs, in 14 accordance with G.S. 116C-3. 15 Studies other issues referred to it by the Governor or the General (4) 16 Assembly. 17 (d) The Office of the Governor, in coordination with the staffs of The University of North Carolina, the North Carolina Community College System, and the Department 18 19 of Public Instruction, shall provide staff to the Education Cabinet." 20 SECTION 3.(b) G.S. 116C-2 is repealed. 21 22 PART IV. ELIMINATE THE STATE EDUCATION COMMISSION 23 ESTABLISHED IN ARTICLE 26 OF CHAPTER 143 OF THE GENERAL 24 **STATUTES** 25 SECTION 4. Article 26 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes is repealed. 26 27 PART V. PROVIDE THAT THE NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA DESIGNATION 28 **COMMISSION SHALL TERMINATE JULY 1, 2014** 29 SECTION 5. Section 18.10 of S.L. 2001-491 reads as rewritten: 30 "SECTION 18.10. Notwithstanding G.S. 158-8.1, the Western North Carolina Regional Economic Development Commission shall develop a regional heritage tourism 31 plan and shall present the plan to the 2002 Regular Session of the 2001 General 32 Assembly no later than May 1, 2002. The National Heritage Area Designation 33 Commission created pursuant to Section 18.4 of this act shall terminate July 1, 2014." 34 35 36 PART VI. REPEAL THE GOVERNOR'S MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 37 **SECTION 6.** Part 24 of Article 9 of Chapter 143B is repealed. 38 39 PART VII. REPEAL THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NORTH 40 CAROLINA CENTER FOR NURSING 41 SECTION 7. G.S. 90-171.71 is repealed. 42 43 PART VIII. REPEAL THE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS 44 SECTION 8.(a) G.S. 143B-711 reads as rewritten: 45 "§ 143B-711. Division of Adult Correction of the Department of Public Safety – 46 organization.

The Division of Adult Correction of the Department of Public Safety shall be organized initially to include the Post-Release Supervision and Parole Commission, the Board of Correction, the Section of Prisons of the Division of Adult Correction, the Section of Community Corrections, the Section of Alcoholism and Chemical Dependency Treatment Programs, and such other divisions as may be established under the provisions of the Executive Organization Act of 1973."

7 8 **SECTION 8.(b)** G.S. 143B-715 is repealed.

9 PART IX. ENCOURAGE THE CHIEF JUSTICE TO ABOLISH THE ACTUAL 10 INNOCENCE COMMISSION

11 **SECTION 9.** The North Carolina Actual Innocence Commission was 12 established by the Chief Justice of the North Carolina Supreme Court. Its primary 13 purpose was to make recommendations which would reduce or eliminate the possibility 14 of the wrongful conviction of an innocent person. In 2006, the General Assembly enacted 15 S.L. 2006-184, which established the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission, as 16 recommended by the North Carolina Actual Innocence Commission. Inasmuch as it appears that the work of the Actual Innocence Commission is complete, the Chief Justice 17 of the North Carolina Supreme Court is encouraged to take appropriate action to formally 18 19 abolish the Commission.

20

21 PART X. EFFECTIVE DATE 22 SECTION 10.

SECTION 10. This act is effective when it becomes law.

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-17 [v.1] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 4/29/2014 11:17:22 AM

	Short Title:	Clarify Existing Rule Readoption Process.	(Public)
	Sponsors:	(Primary Sponsor).	
	Referred to:		
1		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
2	AN ACT TO C	CLARIFY THE PROCESS FOR RE-ADOPTION OF EXISTING RUL	ES
3	IN ACCOR	RDANCE WITH THE PERIODIC REVIEW AND EXPIRATION	OF
4	EXISTING	RULES PROVISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDU	RE
5	ACT AS R	ECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATI	VE
6	PROCEDU	RE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.	
7		ssembly of North Carolina enacts:	
8		CTION 1. G.S. 150B-21.3A(d) reads as rewritten:	
9		etable The Commission shall establish a schedule for the review a	
10		existing rules in accordance with this section on a decennial basis	as
11	follows:		
12	<u>(1)</u>	With regard to the review process, the Commission shall assign	
13		assigning each Title of the Administrative Code a date by which	
14		review required by this section must be completed. In establishing	
15		schedule, the Commission shall consider the scope and complexity	
16 17		rules subject to this section and the resources required to conduct	
17		review required by this section. The Commission shall have bro	
18 19		authority to modify the schedule and extend the time for review appropriate circumstances. Except as provided in subsect	
20		<u>subsections (d1) and (e) of this section, if the agency fails to cond</u>	
20		the review by the date set by the Commission, the rules contained	
$\frac{21}{22}$		that Title which have not been reviewed will expire. The Commiss	
23		shall report to the Committee any agency that fails to conduct	
23 24		review. The Commission may exempt rules that have been adopted	
25		amended within the previous 10 years from the review required by t	
26		section. However, any rule exempted on this basis must be reviewed	
27		accordance with this section no more than 10 years following the	
28		time the rule was amended.	
29	<u>(2)</u>	With regard to the readoption of rules as required by subsect	<u>ion</u>
30		(c)(2)g. of this section, once the final determination report become	
31		effective, the Commission shall establish a date by which the agen	ncy

1	must readopt the rules. The Commission shall consult with the agency
2	and shall consider the agency's rulemaking priorities in establishing
3	the readoption date. The agency may amend a rule as part of the
4	readoption process. If a rule is readopted without change, the agency is
5	not required to prepare a fiscal note as provided by G.S. 150B-21.4.".
6	SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-18 [v.2] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 5/1/2014 5:16:31 PM

	Short Title: Licensing Boards Rules for Prof. Corps.	(Public)
	Sponsors: (Primary Sponsor).	
	Referred to:	
1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
2	AN ACT TO AUTHORIZE LICENSING BOARDS SUBJECT TO CHAPTER 55B	OF
3	THE GENERAL STATUTES TO ADOPT RULES TO IMPLEMENT TI	ΗE
4	PROVISIONS OF THE CHAPTER AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOIN	NT
5	LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE	2.
6	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:	
7	SECTION 1. G.S. 55B-12 reads as rewritten:	
8	"§ 55B-12. Application of regulations of licensing boards.	
9	(a) A professional corporation shall be subject to the applicable rules a	nd
10	regulations adopted by, and all the disciplinary powers of, the licensing board as here	ein
11	defined. Nothing in this Chapter shall impair the disciplinary powers of any licensi	ng
12	board applicable to a licensee as herein defined. No professional corporation may do a	ny
13	act which its shareholders as licensees are prohibited from doing.	
14	(b) Subject to the requirements of Article 2A of Chapter 150B of the Gene	<u>ral</u>
15	Statutes, any licensing board subject to this Chapter may adopt rules to implement t	he
16	provisions of this Chapter, including any rules needed to establish fees within the lim	<u>its</u>
17	set by this Chapter."	
18	SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes la	W.

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-19 [v.3] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 5/13/2014 3:44:21 PM

	Short Title: A	mend OLB Reporting Requirements .	(Public)
	Sponsors: (H	Primary Sponsor).	
	Referred to:		
1		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
2	AN ACT TO AM	MEND THE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR OCCUPATION.	AL
3	LICENSING	BOARDS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATT	VE
4	ADMINISTR	ATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.	
5	The General Asso	embly of North Carolina enacts:	
6	SECT	FION 1. G.S. 93B-2 reads as rewritten:	
7	"§ 93B-2. Ann	nual reports required; contents; open to inspection; sanction	for
8		re to report.	
9		ter than October 31 of each year, each occupational licensing board sh	
10		y with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and the Jo	
11	••••	The Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee an ann	ual
12		all of the following information:	
13	(1)	The address of the board, and the names of its members and officers	•
14	<u>(1a)</u>	The total number of licensees supervised by the board.	
15	(2)	The number of persons who applied to the board for examination.	
16	(3)	The number who were refused examination.	
17	(4)	The number who took the examination.	
18	(5)	The number to whom initial licenses were issued.	
19 20	<u>(5a)</u>	The number who failed the examination.	
20 21	(6) (7)	The number who applied for license by reciprocity or comity.	
21 22	(7)	The number who were granted licenses by reciprocity or comity.	nd
22	(7a)	The number of official complaints received involving licensed a unlicensed activities.	uiu
23 24	(7b)	The number of disciplinary actions taken against licensees, or other	hor
2 4 25	(70)	actions taken against nonlicensees, including injunctive relief.	
26	(8)	The number of licenses suspended or revoked.	
27	(9)	The number of licenses terminated for any reason other than failure	to
28		pay the required renewal fee.	
29	(10)	The substance of any anticipated request by the occupational licens	ing
30	(10)	board to the General Assembly to amend statutes related to	
31		occupational licensing board.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

D

1 2 3 (11) The substance of any anticipated change in rules adopted by the occupational licensing board or the substance of any anticipated adoption of new rules by the occupational licensing board.

(b) No later than October 31 of each year, each occupational licensing board shall
file <u>electronically</u> with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, the Office of State
Budget and Management, and the Joint <u>Regulatory Reform Legislative Administrative</u>
<u>Procedure Oversight</u> Committee a financial report that includes the source and amount of
all funds credited to the occupational licensing board and the purpose and amount of all
funds disbursed by the occupational licensing board during the previous fiscal year.

10

(c) The reports required by this section shall be open to public inspection.

11 The Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee shall (d) 12 notify any board that fails to file the reports required by this section. Failure of a board to 13 comply with the reporting requirements of this section by October 31 of each year shall 14 result in a suspension of the board's authority to expend any funds until such time as the board files the required reports. Suspension of a board's authority to expend funds under 15 16 this subsection shall not affect the board's duty to issue and renew licenses or the validity of any application or license for which fees have been tendered in accordance with law. 17 18 Each board shall adopt rules establishing a procedure for implementing this subsection 19 and shall maintain an escrow account into which any fees tendered during a board's 20 period of suspension under this subsection shall be deposited." 21 **SECTION 2.** This act is effective when it becomes law.

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-20 [v.2] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 5/1/2014 3:51:03 PM

	Short Title: OAH Electronic Filing.	(Public)
	Sponsors: (Primary Sponsor).	
	Referred to:	
1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	-
2	AN ACT TO AUTHORIZE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS T	
3	ALLOW DOCUMENTS IN A CONTESTED CASE TO BE FILE	_
4	ELECTRONICALLY AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIV	Έ
5	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.	
6	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:	
7	SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amende	ed
8	by adding a new section to read:	
9	" <u>§ 150B-23.3. Electronic filing.</u>	
10	(a) In addition to any other method specified in G.S. 150B-23, documents file	
11	and served in a contested case may be filed and served electronically by means of a	
12	Electronic Filing Service Provider. For purposes of this section the following definition	<u>ns</u>
13	apply:	
14	(1) <u>Electronic filing means the electronic transmission of the petitio</u>	
15	notice of hearing, pleadings or any other documents filed in	
16	contested case with the Office of Administrative Hearings, as furth	er
17	defined by rules adopted by the Office of Administrative Hearings.	1
18	(2) <u>Electronic Filing Service Provider (EFSP) means the service provide</u>	
19 20	by the Office of Administrative Hearings for e-filing and e-service	<u>01</u>
20 21	documents via the internet.	
21 22	(3) <u>Electronic service means the electronic transmission of the petition</u>	
22 23	notice of hearing, pleadings, or any other documents in a contest	
23 24	case as further defined by rules adopted by the Office	01
24 25	Administrative Hearings."	to
23 26	SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law and applies contested cases filed on or after that dat	
20	contested cases filed on or after that dat	.с.

Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-21 [v.2] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 5/1/2014 4:18:03 PM

Short Title:	SBOE Rulemaking Clarification.	(Public)
Sponsors:	(Primary Sponsor).	
Referred to:		

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO CLARIFY THAT THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION IS SUBJECT
3	TO RULEMAKING UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT AND
4	TO PROVIDE A REMEDY WHEN AN AGENCY FAILS TO ACT AS DIRECTED
5	BY STATUTE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE
6	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE .
7	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
8	SECTION 1. G.S. 115C-12 reads as rewritten:
9	§ 115C-12. Powers and duties of the Board generally.
10	The general supervision and administration of the free public school system shall be
11	vested in the State Board of Education. The State Board of Education shall establish
12	policy for the system of free public schools, subject to laws enacted by the General
13	Assembly. The State Board of Education is subject to Article 2A of Chapter 150B of the
14	General Statutes. The State Board of Education may not implement or enforce against
15	any person a policy that meets the definition of a rule contained in G.S. 150B-2(8a) if the
16	policy has not been adopted as a rule in accordance with Article 2A of Chapter 150B of
17	the General Statutes. The powers and duties of the State Board of Education are defined
18	as follows:
19	" ····
20	SECTION 2. G.S. 150B-23 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:
21	(a4) If an agency fails to take any required action within the time period specified
22	by law, any person whose rights are substantially prejudiced by the agency's failure to act
23	may commence a contested case in accordance with this section seeking an order that the
24	agency act as required by law. If the administrative law judge finds that the agency has
25	failed to act as required by law, the administrative law judge may order that the agency
26	take the required action within a specified time period."
27	SECTION 3. G.S. 150B-44 reads as rewritten:
28	"§ 150B-44. Right to judicial intervention when final decision unreasonably
29	delayed.
30	Unreasonable delay on the part of any agency or administrative law judge in taking
31	any required action shall be justification for any person whose rights, duties, or privileges

Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee

are adversely affected by such delay to seek a court order compelling action by the agency or administrative law judge. Failure of an administrative law judge subject to Article 3 of this Chapter or failure of an agency subject to Article 3A of this Chapter to make a final decision within 120 days of the close of the contested case hearing is

5 justification for a person whose rights, duties, or privileges are adversely affected by the

6 delay to seek a court order compelling action by the agency or by the administrative law

7 judge. The Board of Trustees of the North Carolina State Health Plan for Teachers and

- 8 State Employees is a "board" for purposes of this section."
- 9 **SECTION 4.** This act is effective when it becomes law.

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-22 [v.1] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 4/30/2014 11:56:28 AM

Short Title:	Streamline Rulemaking Process.	(Public)
Sponsors:	(Primary Sponsor).	
Referred to:		

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO STREAMLINE THE RULEMAKING PROCESS BY ELIMINATING
3	THE REQUIREMENT THAT AN AGENCY OBTAIN A CERTIFICATION OF
4	ADHERENCE TO RULEMAKING PRINCIPLES PRIOR TO SUBMITTING THE
5	PROPOSED TEXT OF A RULE FOR PUBLICATION AS RECOMMENDED BY
6	THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGHT
7	COMMITTEE.
8	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
9	SECTION 1. G.S. 150B-19.1(h) is repealed.
10	SECTION 2. G.S. 150B-21.4(b1) reads as rewritten:
11	"(b1) Substantial Economic Impact. – Before an agency adopts a permanent rule
12	change that would have a substantial economic impact and that is not identical to a
13	federal regulation that the agency is required to adopt, the agency shall prepare a fiscal
14	note for the proposed rule change and have the note approved by the Office of State
15	Budget and Management. The agency must also obtain from the Office a certification that
16	the agency adhered to the regulatory principles set forth in G.S. 150B-19.1(a)(2),(5), and
17	(6). The agency may request the Office of State Budget and Management to prepare the
18	fiscal note only after, working with the Office, it has exhausted all resources, internal and
19	external, to otherwise prepare the required fiscal note. If an agency requests the Office of
20	State Budget and Management to prepare a fiscal note for a proposed rule change, that
21	Office must prepare the note within 90 days after receiving a written request for the note.
22	If the Office of State Budget and Management fails to prepare a fiscal note within this
23	time period, the agency proposing the rule change shall prepare a fiscal note. A fiscal
24	note prepared in this circumstance does not require approval of the Office of State Budget
25	and Management.
26	If an agency prepares the required fiscal note, the agency must submit the note to the
27	Office of State Budget and Management for review. The Office of State Budget and
28	Management shall review the fiscal note within 14 days after it is submitted and either
29	approve the note or inform the agency in writing of the reasons why it does not approve
30	the fiscal note. After addressing these reasons, the agency may submit the revised fiscal
31	note to that Office for its review. If an agency is not sure whether a proposed rule change

Joint Legislative Administrative Procedure Oversight Committee

would have a substantial economic impact, the agency shall ask the Office of State
Budget and Management to determine whether the proposed rule change has a substantial
economic impact. Failure to prepare or obtain approval of the fiscal note as required by
this subsection shall be a basis for objection to the rule under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(4).

5 As used in this subsection, the term "substantial economic impact" means an 6 aggregate financial impact on all persons affected of at least one million dollars 7 (\$1,000,000) in a 12-month period. In analyzing substantial economic impact, an agency 8 shall do the following:

9 (1)Determine and identify the appropriate time frame of the analysis. 10 (2)Assess the baseline conditions against which the proposed rule is to be 11 measured. 12 (3) Describe the persons who would be subject to the proposed rule and 13 the type of expenditures these persons would be required to make. 14 Estimate any additional costs that would be created by implementation (4) of the proposed rule by measuring the incremental difference between 15 16 the baseline and the future condition expected after implementation of the rule. The analysis should include direct costs as well as opportunity 17 costs. Cost estimates must be monetized to the greatest extent possible. 18 19 Where costs are not monetized, they must be listed and described. 20 For costs that occur in the future, the agency shall determine the net (5) 21 present value of the costs by using a discount factor of seven percent 22 (7%)." 23 SECTION 3. This act is effective when it becomes law and applies to 24 proposed rules published on or after that date.

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-RO-23 [v.2] (04/04)

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 5/1/2014 10:25:29 AM

Sponsors: (Primary Sponsor). Referred to: Referred to: 1 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED 2 AN ACT TO ESTABLISH THAT THE STATE AGENCY HAS THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN CERTAIN CONTESTED CASES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGH COMMITTEE. 6 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: 7 SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read: 9 "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. 10 (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. 11 burden of proving the facts alleged in the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the state agency. 12 (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 18 agency employer."	(Public)
1 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED 2 AN ACT TO ESTABLISH THAT THE STATE AGENCY HAS THE BURDEN OF 3 PROOF IN CERTAIN CONTESTED CASES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE 4 JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGH 5 COMMITTEE. 6 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: 7 SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended 8 by adding a new section to read: 9 "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. 10 (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the 11 burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. 12 (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by 13 State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who w 14 State agency. 16 (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 17 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the 18 agency employer."	
 AN ACT TO ESTABLISH THAT THE STATE AGENCY HAS THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN CERTAIN CONTESTED CASES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGH COMMITTEE. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read: "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 AN ACT TO ESTABLISH THAT THE STATE AGENCY HAS THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN CERTAIN CONTESTED CASES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGH COMMITTEE. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read: "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 PROOF IN CERTAIN CONTESTED CASES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGH COMMITTEE. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read: "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who w fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the 	
 JOINT LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE OVERSIGE COMMITTEE. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amendation by adding a new section to read: "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	Γ
 5 COMMITTEE. 6 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: 7 SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended 8 by adding a new section to read: 9 "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	E
 6 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: 7 SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended 8 by adding a new section to read: 9 "<u>§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof.</u> (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the 11 burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we 14 fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	Т
 SECTION 1. Article 3 of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read: "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 by adding a new section to read: "§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof. (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who w fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 9 "<u>§ 150B-25.1. Burden of proof.</u> 10 (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by 13 State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the state agency. 16 (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	:d
 (a) Except as provided by this section, the petitioner in a contested case has the burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who we fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 burden of proving the facts alleged in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence. (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who w fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
12 (b) In a contested case involving the imposition of civil fines or penalties by 13 State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who w 14 fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with th 15 State agency. 16 (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 17 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with th 18 agency employer."	ie
 State agency for violation of the law, the burden of showing that the person who w fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 fined actually committed the act for which the fine or penalty was imposed rests with the State agency. (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
 15 <u>State agency.</u> 16 (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 17 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the agency employer." 	
16 (c) The burden of showing that a career State employee subject to Chapter 126 17 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with th 18 agency employer."	<u>ie</u>
17 the General Statutes was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with th 18 agency employer."	
18 agency employer."	
	<u>ie</u>
10 SECTION 2 The Logislative Administrative Decodure Oversia	
20 Committee shall study whether there are other categories of contested cases in which the	ie
burden of proof should be placed with the agency.	
22 SECTION 3. This act is effective when it becomes law and applies	0
23 contested cases commenced on or after that date.	

Page 37

D