NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY



JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE COMPLIANCE AND FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION (2011)

REPORT TO THE
2013 SESSION
of the
2013 GENERAL ASSEMBLY
OF NORTH CAROLINA

JANUARY, 2013

A LIMITED NUMBER OF COPIES OF THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION THROUGH THE LEGISLATIVE LIBRARY

ROOM 500 LEGISLATIVE OFFICE BUILDING RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27603-5925 TELEPHONE: (919) 733-9390

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	5
COMMITTEE PROCEEDINGS	7
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF OF THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE COMPLIANCE AND FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION (2011)	15
APPENDIX B COMMITTEE CHARGE/STATUTORY AUTHORITY	16
APPENDIX C LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS	17
APPENDIX D SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	24

This page intentionally left blank

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

January 29, 2013

[Back to Top]

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE 2013 REGULAR SESSION OF THE 2013 GENERAL ASSEMBLY

The JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE COMPLIANCE AND FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION (2011) respectfully submits the following report to the 2013 Regular Session of the 2013 General Assembly.

Sen. Harry Brown (Chair)

Rep. Dale Folwell (Chair)

This page intentionally left blank

COMMITTEE PROCEEDINGS

[Back to Top]

The Joint Legislative Committee on Workers' Compensation Insurance Coverage Compliance and Fraud Prevention and Detection (2011) met three times on November 28, 2012, December 12, 2012, and January 29, 2013. The following is a brief summary of the Committee's proceedings. Detailed minutes and information from each Committee meeting are available in the Legislative Library.

November 28, 2012

Presentations were received on the following subjects:

House Bill 709 (S.L. 2011-287):

Bruce Hamilton and Gina Cammarano, practitioners in workers' compensation
proceedings on behalf of employers and employees, respectively, described
the process that led to the stakeholders' consensus on House Bill 709, and told
the Committee that the bill had resulted in a number of changes that are
intended to result in getting injured workers back to work sooner, including:
more streamlined communications with doctors; better control of medical
treatment; improved vocational rehabilitation benefits; and a 500 week cap on
temporary total disability benefits.

Abuse of Minimum Coverage Policies ("Ghost Policies")

- Committee Counsel Bill Patterson explained that "ghost policies" are ordinary workers' compensation insurance policies that are issued to individuals who purport to be sole proprietors with no employees, and who are not themselves covered under the policy.
- Practitioner and treatise author Leonard Jernigan told the Committee that there is a legitimate purpose for issuing "ghost policies" in that general contractors need to obtain proof of insurance from subcontractors under G.S. 97-19. If the sub is a sole proprietor with no employees, the policy provides coverage for any employees the sub may hire in the future. Mr. Jernigan stated that problems arise when the policy holder is not a sole proprietor, but rather is an employee of a subcontractor. He suggested that applicants for insurance be required to fill out a form to provide information that might indicate whether the applicant is really a sole proprietor, or is another subcontractor's employee. He also supported more vigorous efforts to detect the misclassification of employees as independent contractors, and suggested that the General Assembly consider establishing an uninsured employee fund.

- Ray Evans, Executive Director of the N.C. Rate Bureau, told the Committee that there is a need for minimum coverage policies, which is his preferred term for "ghost policies" in the case of sole proprietors who are independent contractors with no current employees, who do not know how much work they will have during the coming year, and who need a policy that will cover any employees they may hire. Without minimum coverage policies those sole proprietors would not be able to find work because the general contractor requires them to show proof of insurance.
- Stuart Powell, Vice President of Insurance Operations and Technical Affairs, Independent Insurance Agents of North Carolina, told the Committee that his association represents between 800 and 900 agencies and more than 6,000 independent insurance agents. He stated that one-third of the calls he handles involving coverage and claim issues involve misclassification of employees and issues with obtaining required certificates of insurance. Mr. Powell told the Committee that problems with "ghost policies" arise from employee misclassification motivated by the financial incentive under current law, which excludes a sole proprietor from coverage unless he or she elects to have this coverage. One possible solution, according to Mr. Powell, would be to reverse the current situation by having the policy automatically cover everyone, unless the sole proprietor affirmatively opts-out.
- Mike Carpenter, Executive Vice President of the N.C. Home Builders Association, stated that general contractors must pay for injured employees unless all of the subcontractors have provided certificates of insurance. He also stated that confusion results from the fact that the distinctions between subcontractors and employees are not always clear. As an example of the cost difference resulting from the decision whether or not to include or exclude the sole proprietor from coverage, Mr. Carpenter stated that a mason with no employees will pay \$1,000 per year for a policy if he exempts himself from coverage, but will pay \$2,827 if he is included in the policy, and a trim carpenter will pay \$1,000 per year if exempted, but \$2,788 if included. Mr. Carpenter agreed that there is abuse of "ghost policies" and that the system needs reforming, but stated that he could not offer a solution.
- Wanda Taylor, Chief Deputy Commissioner of the Industrial Commission, explained that she frequently hears claims involving ghost policies, for which she believes there is a practical need and legitimate use, but which are also abused. Some policyholders do not realize that they are not covered, while others have made a conscious choice to exclude themselves to save money. She suggested that requiring the application form for policies to require the applicant to acknowledge either that he or she is or is not excluded from coverage, would help to avoid misunderstanding on this issue.

Fraud Prevention and Detection

- Industrial Commission Chair Pamela Young told the Committee that the Commission is kept very busy investigating workers pretending to be hurt as well as employers not carrying required insurance, and that the Commission is currently understaffed to investigate fraud.
- Sam Constance, Chief Investigator for the Commission's Fraud Investigations Section, told the Committee that his section has only five employees to investigate fraud claims, and works with the Attorney General's office to prosecute fraud. In order to be compliance-driven rather than complaint-driven as it now is, there would need to be changes in the laws, improved information technology, and additional staff. Mr. Constance stated that currently there is no way to track employees who file repeated claims as they move from job to job.

Disclosure of Employer Coverage Information under G.S. 58-36-17

- Mark Prak, Counsel to the N.C. Press Association, stated that information concerning employers' lack of workers' compensation insurance should be a matter of public record and the legislature should give the public better access to this data.
- Steve Riley, Senior Editor for Investigations, News and Observer, described the information that was available from the Industrial Commission prior to the enactment of G.S. 58-36-17, which permitted the publication of a series of news stories disclosing that approximately 30,000 North Carolina employers fail to purchase required workers' compensation insurance. Mr. Riley stated that the information available from the Commission after enactment of G.S. 58-36-17 does not permit employees or others to confirm the coverage status of employers.
- Ray Evans, Executive Director, N.C. Rate Bureau, explained that the members of the Rate Bureau have concerns about public access to the data shared with the Industrial Commissions, because of the commercialization of the information by third parties who sell it to competitors of the carriers whose data is being provided to the Commission by the Rate Bureau.
- Mike Strickland, Counsel to the N.C. Rate Bureau, explained that the Rate Bureau does not oppose a change to G.S. 58-36-17 to permit release of the name and address of the employer and the policy number and effective date. The members of the Rate Bureau continue to object to release of the identity of the carrier.

• Chair Pamela Young said that the Industrial Commission continues to receive information from the Rate Bureau, and will release such information as is permitted by law.

Co-Chair Rep. Folwell requested that the Industrial Commission, Rate Bureau, and Press Association confer and attempt to reach a consensus on changes to the current law that will permit additional information to be released by the Commission, and to report back to the Committee on their progress.

Rep. Folwell also asked the persons presenting on the issue of "Ghost Policies" to engage in discussions and to formulate best practices for the insurance industry designed to reduce or prevent the abuse of minimum coverage workers' compensation insurance policies, and to present any recommendations for desired legislation to address this issue to the 2013 General Assembly, in consultation with the Department of Insurance.

December 12, 2012

Presentations were received on the following subjects:

N.C. Medical Treatment Costs Compared with other States

• Committee Counsel Bill Patterson summarized a presentation to the House Insurance Committee in April 2011 by Dr. Richard Victor, presenting the results of a study by the Workers Compensation Insurance Institute comparing the medical treatment costs for workers' compensation in North Carolina and 15 other states, which found North Carolina highest in recent years in average cost, indemnity benefits, and hospital payments, and third-highest in disc cases with surgery and payment per incident. Mr. Patterson also explained current statutory provisions granting the employer/carrier a lien upon funds recovered by the employee from a third party for the amount of workers' compensation benefits received by the employee when the injuries are the result of a motor vehicle accident caused by the third-party's negligence.

Information on Workers' Compensation Claims by State Employees

- Lou Kost, HR Manager for the Office of State Personnel, and Dr. Ben Matthews, Director of School Support at the Department of Public Instruction, presented information on the volume and cost to the State of workers' compensation claims brought by public employees.
- Ms. Kost explained that the State is self-insured and pays workers' compensation claims as they occur, but does not have a budgeted line item for workers' compensation. She further explained that each State agency handles its own workers' compensation claims internally; the Office of State Personnel assists with claims, but does not mandate that an agency handle a claim in any particular manner. Ms. Kost presented a Summary Comparison

Report for fiscal years 2004/2005 through 2011/2012. The chart indicates that the number of "covered employees" for fiscal year 2011/2012 was 147,019, encompassing every person who receives a paycheck from the State, including temporary and contract employees. According to the chart, the number of workers' compensation claims has decreased over time. For comparison, in 2004/2005 the total number of claims was 8,050; in 2011/2012, the total number of claims was 6,172. Total annual workers' compensation expenditures (not adjusted for inflation) have increased from \$45,484,409 in 2004/2005 to \$75,050,135 in 2011/2012. Ms. Kost stated that the increase resulted in part from a decline in settlement of claims caused by lack of available funds. When a case does not settle, temporary total disability benefits paid to the injured worker, as well as payment of medical expenses, are ongoing and tend to increase over time because the worker often develops additional medical problems stemming from the original injury, such as depression. Furthermore, settlements must not only take into account the money paid to the worker, but there must also be a Medicare set aside placed in a trust fund to pay medical expenses so that Medicare will not end up paying for the work injury. Ms. Kost stated that it may be prudent for State agencies to reserve funds to pay settlements. When asked if HB 709 has made a difference, Ms. Kost stated that it has in that it is now clear that workers should return to work during the healing period.

- Mr. Matthews informed the Committee that there are approximately 175,630 public school employees, comprising 57% of the State's workers. Mr. Matthews provided a handout showing the workers compensation benefits paid in FY 2011/12, as well as the portion of the total representing benefits paid for claims filed in prior years. Of the total of \$55,034,941 paid in the most recently completed fiscal year, \$10,067,589 represented benefits for claims filed in that year. The balance was for benefits paid to persons for claims filed in earlier years. Mr. Matthews stated that HB 709 has been beneficial, but it will take approximately a year to know more quantitatively what its impact has been.
- In addition to the handouts presented by Ms. Kost and Mr. Matthews at the meeting, Appendix D to this report includes a table prepared by Committee staff showing the inflation-adjusted expenditures corresponding to the OSP workers' compensation expenditures shown in Ms. Kost's handout, and a table based on information obtained from the Department of Public Instruction showing the occupational breakout of the \$55 million in workers' compensation benefits paid to public school employees in FY2011-12.

Report on Stakeholder Agreement on Changes to G.S. 58-36-17

• The Committee received a report from the Industrial Commission, the N.C. Rate Bureau, and the N.C. Press Association on amendments to G.S. 58-36-17 to broaden the scope of employer workers' compensation insurance coverage

information that may be released to the public. The parties announced their agreement to the terms of a draft bill, which was circulated to the Committee, authorizing the Industrial Commission to release the same information to the public that was permitted prior to the 2012 enactment of G.S. 58-36-17.

Employer and Employee Fraud

- Gary Salamido presented the views of the N.C. Chamber on the subject of employee and employer fraud in workers' compensation claims and coverage requirements.
- Kay Meyer, Program Director of Statewide Data Integration at the Office of the State Controller, explained the ways in which the Government Business Intelligence Competency Center can work with the Industrial Commission to improve and enhance the Commission's fraud detection and prevention efforts through data integration and analysis.

After these presentations, the Committee was advised by Gary Salamido with the N.C. Chamber of Commerce and Chip Baggett with the N.C. Medical Society that representatives of medical providers, businesses and insurers have been making progress in discussions of ways to address concerns with increased medical costs associated with workers' compensation claims.

January 29, 2013

At its third and final meeting, the Committee considered and approved this final report to the 2013 General Assembly.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

[Back to Top]

Expanding Public Access to Employer Workers' Compensation Coverage Information

The Committee finds that it is in the public interest to expand the categories of information relating to employer workers' compensation insurance coverage that the Industrial Commission is authorized to release to the public, as agreed to by the Industrial Commission, the N.C. Rate Bureau, and the N.C. Press Association. The Committee is informed that upon receiving this authorization, the Industrial Commission will make this information available on its website. The Committee therefore recommends enactment of Bill Draft 2013-TGz-2 [v.4] enclosed in Appendix C to authorize the Industrial Commission to release this information.

<u>Improving Efficiency in the Management of Public Employee Workers' Compensation</u> Claims

The Committee finds that the cost to the State of workers' compensation claims submitted by State and local government employees has risen significantly over the past several years and that it is desirable to consider ways in which efficiency in the management of these claims can be improved. The Committee therefore recommends enactment of Bill Draft 2013-MLz-27 [v.3] enclosed in Appendix C to require the Office of State Personnel, in conjunction with the Department of Public Instruction and the State Budget Office, to study this issue and report their findings and recommendations to the 2013 General Assembly.

Employer and Employee Fraud Detection and Prevention

The Committee finds that the State Controller, through the Government Business Intelligence Competency Center (GBICC), has technical expertise in data analysis that will benefit the Industrial Commission in the detection and prevention of workers' compensation fraud by employers and employees. The Committee therefore recommends enactment of Bill Draft 2013-LRz-28A [v. 2] enclosed in Appendix C to require agencies to work with the State Controller as necessary to support GBICC in this project.

Controlling Medical Costs in Workers' Compensation Claims

The Committee finds that the average workers' compensation cost per claim over the years 2006-2009 was significantly higher in North Carolina than in the other states in a study conducted by the Workers Compensation Research Institute. In that study, North Carolina ranked highest of the 16 study states in average hospital payment per claim and average hospital outpatient payment per claim, and ranked third-highest in average hospital payment per inpatient episode.

In response to concerns over these increasing medical costs, representatives of doctors, hospitals, insurers, and the business community met and agreed to a revised schedule of fees payable for treatment of injured workers, which was adopted on by the Industrial Commission as <u>04 NCAC 10J .0101 Fees for Medical Compensation</u>. As a result of this revision, effective February 1, 2013, hospital inpatient and outpatient visits and ambulatory surgery rates will be frozen at the rates that were in effect on June 30, 2012. Further, effective April 1, 2013, the minimum payment rate in effect as of that date for inpatient services will be reduced by 10%, the payment rate in effect on that date for outpatient services and ambulatory center surgery will be reduced by 15%, and implants will be paid at no more than 28% above invoice costs.

The Committee is informed that the stakeholders have agreed to fund a comprehensive review of fee schedules for North Carolina's workers' compensation system to better determine what reimbursement rates should be, taking into consideration the rates charged in other states and the need for access, and that the anticipated completion date for this study is in the second quarter 2013. Based upon the stakeholders' progress to date, the Committee recommends that the General Assembly defer taking further action pending its consideration of the results of the study being undertaken by joint effort of the business, insurance, and medical industry stakeholders.

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF

[Back to Top]

Members

<u>President Pro Tempore of the Senate</u> Appointments:

Sen. Harry Brown (Chair)

Sen. Andrew Brock Sen. Warren Daniel Sen. E. Newton

Speaker of the House of Representatives Appointments:

Rep. Dale Folwell (Chair)

Rep. Jeffrey Collins Rep. Nelson Dollar Rep. Patricia McElraft

Committee Staff

Bill Patterson Brad Krehely Shelly DeAdder Research Division (919) 733-2578

Phyllis Pickett Luke Gillenwater Legislative Drafting Division (919) 733-6660

Aubrey Incorvaia Fiscal Research Division (919) 733-4910

COMMITTEE CHARGE/STATUTORY AUTHORITY

[Back to Top]

The Committee shall:

- (1) Review the statutes relating to workers' compensation in the State to determine whether there are sufficient safeguards to ensure that employers comply with statutory requirements related to workers' compensation insurance coverage and to prevent and detect fraudulent claims before the Industrial Commission.
- (2) Examine the measures taken by the Industrial Commission relating to compliance with statutory requirements related to workers' compensation insurance coverage and to fraudulent claims to determine whether the Commission is using effectively existing powers and resources relating to employer compliance and the prevention of claims fraud.
- (3) Recommend any statutory changes necessary to improve or enhance the Industrial Commission's efforts and effectiveness in securing employer compliance with statutory requirements related to workers' compensation insurance coverage and to the prevention and detection of fraudulent workers' compensation claims.
- (4) Study any other matter related to the integrity of the workers' compensation system that the Committee deems necessary to accomplish its purpose.

The Committee shall report to the 2013 General Assembly on legislation related to the integrity of the workers' compensation system, including statutory changes to strengthen the prevention and detection of workers' compensation fraud. The Committee shall terminate upon submission of its final report to the 2013 General Assembly.

Authority: S.L. 2012-135, s. 8.

LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS

[Back to Top]

U

BILL DRAFT 2013-TGz-2 [v.4] (12/12)

D

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 1/10/2013 11:01:45 AM

Short Title: Workers Comp Coverage/Public Records. (Public)

Sponsors: (Primary Sponsor).

Referred to:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO PERMIT DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN INFORMATION PERTAINING TO WORKERS' COMPENSATION COVERAGE BY THE NORTH CAROLINA INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION, AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE COMPLIANCE AND FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

SECTION 1. G.S. 58-36-17 reads as rewritten:

"§ 58-36-17. Bureau to share information with the North Carolina Industrial Commission.

11 The Bureau shall provide to the North Carolina Industrial Commission information 12 contained in the Bureau's records indicating the status of workers' compensation 13 insurance coverage on North Carolina employers as reported to the Bureau by the 14 Bureau's member companies. The North Carolina Industrial Commission shall take such steps, including obtaining software or software licenses, as are necessary to be able to 15 receive and process such information from the Bureau. The records provided to the 16 North Carolina Industrial Commission under this section shall be confidential and shall 17 18 not be public records as that term is defined in G.S. 132-1. Notwithstanding the 19 previous sentence and with respect to policies becoming effective on and after January 1, 2012, the North Carolina Industrial Commission may release data showing workers 20 21 workers' compensation insurance policy information that includes only only: employer name and address; carrier name, address and telephone number; policy number; policy 22 effective dates, dates; policy cancellation dates, dates; and policy reinstatement dates. 23 24 This data shall not be confidential data and shall be a public record as that term is defined in G.S. 132-1. The North Carolina Industrial Commission shall use the 25 information provided pursuant to this section only to carry out its statutory duties and 26 obligations under The North Carolina Workers' Compensation Act. The Bureau shall be 27 28 immune from civil liability for releasing information pursuant to this section, even if the information is erroneous, provided the Bureau acted in good faith and without malicious 29 or willful intent to harm in releasing the information." 30

1	SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

BILL DRAFT 2013-MLz-27 [v.3] (01/09)

U

1 2

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 1/10/2013 12:42:21 PM

Short Title: Study Savings for Administration of Claims.		(Public)
Sponsors: Unknown (Primary Sponsor).		
Referred to:		

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIRECT THE OFFICE OF STATE PERSONNEL, IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION AND THE OFFICE OF STATE BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT, TO STUDY AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE MANAGEMENT OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS SUBMITTED BY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE COMPLIANCE AND FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. The Office of State Personnel, in conjunction with the Department of Public Instruction and the Office of State Budget and Management, shall study the expenses related to the management of workers' compensation claims submitted by State and local government employees, and make recommendations as to how efficiency can be improved and expenses can be reduced. The study shall examine at least all of the following:

- (1) An identification of State agencies that currently include budget line items for expenses related to workers' compensation claims.
- (2) An identification of State agencies that do not currently include budget line items for expenses related to workers' compensation claims, including an explanation as to how these expenses are paid.
- (3) An explanation of how the expenses related to the management of workers' compensation claims are allocated among the State agencies, including fees and expenses payable to third-party administrators and legal fees and expenses incurred in the defense of these claims.
- (4) Recommendations for alternative budgeting methods that can be used for anticipated expenses related to workers' compensation claims, including the use of a fractional percentage of State agency payroll based on historical data or actuarial analysis.

Joint Legislative Committee on Workers' Compensation Insurance Coverage Compliance and Fraud Prevention and Detection (2011)

Page 20

D

1	(5)	In order to increase the flexibility of State agencies to settle workers'
2		compensation claims, recommendations for alternative budgeting
3		methods that can be used for anticipated expenses related to lump sum
4		settlements of workers' compensation claims.
5	(6)	Recommendations for strategies that may be implemented to further
6		motivate State agencies to return injured employees to work as soon as
7		possible.
8	(7)	An identification of State agencies that would be the best candidates
9		for a pilot program to assess the efficacy of implementing one or more
10		of the recommendations identified in this study for achieving greater
11		efficiency and reducing expenses in the management of workers'
12		compensation claims.
13	SECT	TION 2. No later than October 1, 2013, the Office of State Personnel
14	shall report its fi	ndings and recommendations to the General Assembly.
15	SECT	TION 3. This act is effective when it becomes law.

BILL DRAFT 2013-LRz-28A [v.2] (01/07)

S

GBICC/Workers' Comp Program Integrity.

Short Title:

(THIS IS A DRAFT AND IS NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION) 1/10/2013 3:49:59 PM

	Sponsors:	
	Referred to:	
1		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT REQU	IRING THAT THE STATE CONTROLLER, GOVERNMENT
3	BUSINESS IN	NTELLIGENCE COMPETENCY CENTER, ENHANCE THE
4	STATE'S ENT	ERPRISE-LEVEL BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE THROUGH THE
5	COLLECTION	AND ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM VARIOUS STATE
6	AGENCIES F	RELATED TO WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE
7	COVERAGE A	AND CLAIMS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FRAUD PREVENTION
8	AND DETECT	TION, AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE
9	COMMITTEE	ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE
10	COMPLIANCE	E AND FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION.
11	The General Assen	nbly of North Carolina enacts:
12	SECTIO	ON 1. Section 6A.7A(g) of S.L. 2012-142 is amended by adding a
13	new subdivision to	
14	"SECTION 6A	A.7A.(g) Miscellaneous Provisions. –
15	• • •	
16	(3) W	Vorkers' Compensation Program Integrity The State Controller and
17		ne GBICC shall enhance the State's business intelligence through the
18 19	<u>C(</u>	ollection and analysis of data relating to workers' compensation aims for the purpose of preventing and detecting fraud, as follows:
20	<u>cı</u> a.	
21	<u>a.</u>	GBICC, or otherwise provide electronic access to, all data
22		requested by GBICC relating to workers' compensation
23		insurance coverage, claims, appeals, compliance, and
24		enforcement under Chapter 97 of the General Statutes
25	b.	
26	<u>0.</u>	otherwise provide electronic access to, all data requested by
27		GBICC relating to workers' compensation insurance coverage,
28		claims, business ratings, and premiums under Chapter 58 of the
28 29		General Statutes.
30	2	
31	<u>C.</u>	The Department of Commerce, Division of Employment Security (DES), shall release to GBICC, or otherwise provide
31		security (DES), shall release to ODICC, or otherwise provide

Joint Legislative Committee on Workers' Compensation Insurance Coverage Compliance and Fraud Prevention and Detection (2011)

Page 22

D

(Public)

1	access to, all data requested by GBICC relating	to
2	unemployment insurance coverage, claims, and busing	<u>iess</u>
3	reporting under Chapter 96 of the General Statutes.	
4	d. The Department of Labor shall release to GBICC, or otherw	vise
5	provide access to, all data requested by GBICC relating	to
6	safety inspections, wage and hour complaints, and enforcem	<u>ient</u>
7	activities under Chapter 95 of the General Statutes.	
8	e The Department of Revenue shall release to GBICC,	or
9	otherwise provide access to, all data requested by GBI	
10	relating to the registration and address information of act	
11	businesses, business tax reporting, and aggregate federal	tax
12	Form 1099 data for comparison with information from DES,	the
13	Rate Bureau, and the Secretary of State's Office for	the
14	evaluation of business reporting. The Department of Reve	nue
15	and the Office of the State Controller shall work jointly	to to
16	assure that the evaluation of tax information pursuant to	<u>this</u>
17	subdivision is performed in accordance with applicable federal	<u>eral</u>
18	<u>law.</u>	
19	f. All information shared with GBICC and the State Contro	ller
20	under this subdivision is protected from release and disclos	sure
21	in the same manner as any other information available	to
22	GBICC and the State Controller pursuant to this section."	
23	SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.	
24		

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

[Back to Top]

NORTH CAROLINA STATE GOVERNMENT WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAM

SUMMARY COMPARSION REPORT - FISCAL YEARS 2004/05 through 2011/12

	FY 04/05	FY 05/06	FY 06/07	FY 07/08	FY 08-09	FY 09-10	FY 10-11	FY 11-12
EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION	F1 04/05	F1 05/06	F1 00/07	F1 07/06	F1 00-09	F1 09-10	F1 10-11	F1 11-12
	450 405	400.00=	407.000	100 = 10	1- 1 000	101000	4-0-00-	
Number of Covered Employees	159,137	162,335			·			147,019
Number of Man-hours	300,566,811	300,775,537	313,533,535	, ,	328,223,345	304,149,084	304,696,268	291,028,978
Number of Death Cases	0	3	4	6	0	1	1	1
NEW INJURIES / ILLNESSES CASES								
Injury & illnesses cases with Lost Workdays	881	766	535	491	569	660	511	466
Injury & illnesses cases without Lost Workdays	6,954	7,088	6,954	6,677	5,798	5,827	5,578	5,735
Injury & illnesses cases with restricted Workdays	257	235	231	219	302	292	249	123
TOTAL NEW REPORTED CASES	8,092	8,089	7,720	7,387	6,669	6,779	6,338	6,324
TOTAL # OF LOST & RESTR. WORKDAYS	127,613	141,495	135,588	148,853	138,266	209,924	238,161	292,889
WORKERS' COMPENSATION								
Medical Only Claims	7,037	7,143	6,731	6,282	5,561	5,382	5,062	4,896
Indemnity Claims	1,013	873	765	805	760	1,012	1,219	1,276
TOTAL NUMBER OF WC CLAIMS	8,050	8,016	7,496	7,087	6,321	6,394	6,281	6,172
Number of Denied Claims	62	91	238	328	330	269	292	312
ACCIDENT & INJURY EXPENDITURES								
Medical	\$ 22,996,435	\$ 23,235,647	\$ 26,584,845	\$ 24,001,716	\$ 31,652,384	\$ 33,280,984	\$ 38,126,447	\$ 40,224,809
Temporary Disability Payments	\$ 10,485,960	\$ 11,363,948	\$ 12,211,444	\$ 13,497,474	\$ 15,616,123	\$ 19,658,037	\$ 23,227,631	\$ 27,630,500
Permanent Disability Payments	\$ 8,719,648	\$ 10,699,277	\$ 11,890,139	\$ 13,688,620	\$ 9,943,946	\$ 5,464,631	\$ 6,255,318	\$ 4,218,953
Death Benefits	\$ 493,059				•	\$ 457,757		
Other Costs	<u> </u>	\$ 3,199,655			\$ 1,710,179			\$ 2,222,004
TOTAL WC EXPENDITURES	\$ 45,484,409	\$ 49,199,032	54,404,888	54,906,569	59,821,589	60,706,992	70,518,168	75,050,135
In-house Treatment Cost	\$ 359,334	\$ 362,973			\$ 459,976	\$ 318,623	\$ 197,347	\$ 292,889
Cost of Salary Continuation	\$ 1,565,447	\$ 1,433,868			\$ 3,772,099	\$ 3,880,457		\$ 3,544,761
Subrogation	\$ 331,020	\$ 291,394	\$ (368,684)	\$ (473,898)	\$ (314,004)	\$ (379,690)	\$ (496,315)	\$ (392,625)
TOTAL DOLLAR LOSS	\$ 45,843,743	\$ 49,562,005	\$ 56,028,818	\$ 56,355,887	\$ 63,739,660	\$ 64,526,382	\$ 74,576,338	\$ 78,495,160
SUMMARY INFORMATION								
AVERAGE WC COST PER EMPLOYEE	\$ 286	\$ 303	\$ 329	\$ 325	\$ 349	\$ 369	\$ 446	\$ 510
COST IN LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY	\$ 17,168,366	\$ 19,209,035	\$ 20,194,790	\$ 23,110,573	\$ 21,466,860	\$ 32,592,316	\$ 36,976,327	\$ 47,229,478
TOTAL DOLLAR LOSS + PRODUCTIVITY	\$ 63,012,109	\$ 68,771,040	\$ 76,223,608	\$ 79,466,460	\$ 85,206,520	\$ 97,118,698	\$ 111,552,665	\$ 125,724,638

North Carolina State Government Workers' Compensation (WC) Program Fiscal Years 2004-05 to 2011-12 Adapted from Office of State Personnel's (OSP) Schedule 1

	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12
OSP WC Expenditures, Nominal	\$45,484,409	\$49,199,032	\$54,404,888	\$54,906,569	\$59,821,589	\$60,706,992	\$70,518,168	\$75,050,135
OSP WC Expenditures, Real 2012 Dollars	\$53,683,813	\$55,737,286	\$60,018,803	\$57,721,203	\$63,686,492	\$63,896,536	\$71,704,046	\$75,050,135

Source: USDOL, BLS, CPI-U, US City Average. Available at http://www.bls.gov/cpi/

Fiscal Research Division 12/27/2012

Workers' Compensation Benefits

HOW MUCH DOTHESE BENEFITS COST DPI

FY 2012 Comparisons – WC

FY12	\$ 22,414,675
Indemnity	
Lost time claims/wages @ 66 2/3rds	
FY12	\$32,620,266
Medical	
100% of medical paid – Related to injury	
Total:	\$ 55,034,941 – over 3,700 open claims

WC PAYMENTS BY FISCAL YEARS

Claim Year	FY 12 Paid Benefits
Prior to 2000	\$ 2,604,939 — 87 Claims
2000	\$ 643,471
2001	\$ 729,604
2002	\$ 676,606
2003	\$ 1,447,456
2004	\$ 1,389,618
2005	\$ 1,721,545
2006	\$ 1,210,711
2007	\$ 2,662,969
2008	\$ 3,437,046
2009	\$ 5,878,448
2010	\$ 9,591,089
2011	\$12,973,849
2012	\$10,067,589
TOTAL	\$55,034,941

North Carolina's Department of Public Instruction FY 2011-12 Worker's Compensation (WC) Benefits Payments by Occupation (\$ in millions)

Occupation	Paid Benefits	% of Total
Bus Driver	6.3	11.5%
Cafeteria	0.8	1.4%
Coach	1.8	3.2%
Custodian	7.9	14.3%
Maintenance	1.4	2.6%
Other	4.4	8.0%
Principal	2.2	4.1%
Teacher	21.8	39.7%
Teachers' Assistant	8.4	15.3%
TOTAL	\$55.0	100.0%

Source: DPI

Notes: This \$55 M (representing over 3,700 open claims) is attributed to state-funded positions, as well as the state's portion of split-funded positions. This amount does not include those WC costs that are associated with federally and locally funded positions.

Fiscal Research Division 1/10/2013

Staff Summary of Portions of Presentation to House Insurance Committee on April 5, 2011, by Dr. Richard Victor, WCRI

Dr. Victor, Executive Director of the Workers Compensation Research Institute, told the Committee that WCRI is a not-for-profit public policy research institute operating since 1983 in Cambridge, Massachusetts, with funding from diverse membership dues, including:

- Employers, insurers, and third party administrators
- State governments in U.S., Canada and Australia
- Health care provider and managed care organizations
- Several state labor organizations

Dr. Victor stated that WCRI does not take positions in its studies, which are subject to external peer review, and which focus on benefit delivery system, not on insurance mechanism or pricing.

According to Dr. Victor, WCRI compared the cost of workers compensation claims in sixteen states, including North Carolina, looking at claims involving more than 7 days of lost time, and the results of this comparison included the following:

- From 2003 to 2008, NC total costs per claim increased more than the median state in the study (47% versus 30%)
- For claims from 2007-2008, the average outpatient hospital payment per claim was 53% higher in NC than in the median state in the study (\$5,883 v. \$3,848), and the average inpatient hospital payment per claim was 42% higher in NC than in the median state (\$29,214 v. \$20,633)
- NC ranked highest of the 16 study states in the following categories:
 - o average total costs per claim (2006-2009)
 - o average total indemnity benefits per claim (2006-2009)
 - average hospital payment per claim (2007-2009)
 - o average hospital outpatient payment per claim (2008-2009)
- NC was the third-highest of the study states in the following categories:
 - o percentage of disc cases with surgery (2000-2003)
 - o average hospital payment per inpatient episode (2007-2009)

Research Division 12/12/2012

1 2	4 NCAC 10J.0101 is amended as published in 27:02 NCR 237 as follows:
3	SUBCHAPTER 10J – FEES FOR MEDICAL COMPENSATION
4	
5	SECTION 0100 – FEES FOR MEDICAL COMPENSATION
6	AANGAGAAA AAA
7	04 NCAC 10J .0101 FEES FOR MEDICAL COMPENSATION (a) The Commission has adopted and published a Medical Fee Schedule, pursuant to the provisions of C.S. 07
8 9	(a) The Commission has adopted and published a Medical Fee Schedule, pursuant to the provisions of G.S. 97 26(a), setting maximum amounts, except for hospital fees pursuant to G.S. 97-26(b), that may be paid for medical
10	surgical, nursing, dental, and rehabilitative services, and medicines, sick travel, and other treatment, including
11	medical and surgical supplies, original artificial members as may reasonably be necessary at the end of the healing
12	period and the replacement of such artificial members when reasonably necessitated by ordinary use or medical
13	circumstances. The amounts prescribed in the applicable published Fee Schedule shall govern and apply according
14	to G.S. 97-26(c). [However, in other hardship cases where] Where sufficient reason is demonstrated to the
15	Commission, amounts in excess of those so published may be allowed.]
16	(b) The Commission's Medical Fee Schedule contains maximum allowed amounts for medical services provided
17	pursuant to Chapter 97 of the General Statutes. The Medical Fee Schedule utilizes 1995 through the present
18	Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes adopted by the American Medical Association, Healthcare Common
19	Procedure Coding Systems (HCPCS) codes, and jurisdiction-specific codes. A listing of the maximum allowable
20	amount for each code is available on the Commission's website at http://www.ic.nc.gov/ncic/pages/feesched.asp and
21	in hardcopy at 430 N. Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina.
22	(c) The following methodology provides the basis for the Commission's Medical Fee Schedule:
23	(1) CPT codes for General Medicine are based on 1995 North Carolina Medicare values multiplied
24	by 1.58. 1.58, except for CPT codes 99201-99205 and 99211-99215, which are based on 1993
25	Medicare values multiplied by 2.05.
26	(2) CPT codes for Physical Medicine are based on 1995 North Carolina Medicare values multiplied
27	by <mark>[1.30.]1.36.</mark>
28	(3) CPT codes for Radiology are based on 1995 North Carolina Medicare values multiplied by 1.96.
29	(4) CPT codes for Surgery are based on 1995 North Carolina Medicare values multiplied by 2.06.
30	(d) The Commission's Hospital Fee Schedule, adopted pursuant to G.S. 97-26(b), provides for payment as follows:
31	(1) Inpatient hospital fees: Inpatient services are reimbursed based on a Diagnostic Related
32	Groupings (DRG) methodology. The Hospital Fee Schedule utilizes the 2001 Diagnostic Related
33	Groupings adopted by the State Health Plan. Each DRG amount is based on the amount that the
34	State Health Plan had in effect for the same DRG on June 30, 2001.
35	DRG amounts are further subject to the following payment band that establishes maximum and
36	minimum payment amounts:
37	(A) The maximum payment is 100 percent of the hospital's itemized charges.

1	<u>(B)</u>	For hospitals other than critical access hospitals, the minimum payment is 75 percent of
2		the hospital's itemized charges. Effective February 1, 2013, the minimum payment rate is
3		the amount provided for under Subparagraph (5) below, subject to adjustment on April 1,
4		2013 as provided therein.
5	<u>(C)</u>	For critical access hospitals, the minimum payment is 77.07 percent of the hospital's
6		itemized charges. Effective February 1, 2013, the minimum payment rate is the amount
7		provided for under Subparagraph (5) below, subject to adjustment on April 1, 2013 as
8		provided therein.
9	(2) Outpati	ient hospital fees: Outpatient services are reimbursed based on the hospital's actual charges
10	as bille	d on the UB-04 claim form, subject to the following percentage discounts:
11	<u>(A)</u>	For hospitals other than critical access hospitals, the payment shall be 79 percent of the
12		hospital's billed charges. Effective February 1, 2013, the payment is the amount provided
13		for under Subparagraph (5) below, subject to adjustment on April 1, 2013 as provided
14		therein.
15	<u>(B)</u>	For critical access hospitals, the payment shall be 87 percent of the hospital's billed
16		charges. For purposes of the hospital fee schedule, critical access hospitals are those
17		hospitals designated as such pursuant to federal law (42 CFR 485.601 et seq.) Effective
18		February 1, 2013, the critical access hospital's payment is the amount provided for under
19		Subparagraph (5) below, subject to adjustment on April 1, 2013 as provided therein.
20	(3) Ambul	atory surgery fees: Ambulatory surgery center services are reimbursed at 79 percent of
21	billed o	charges. Effective February 1, 2013, the ambulatory surgery center services are reimbursed
22	at the a	amount provided for under Subparagraph (5) below, subject to adjustment on April 1, 2013
23	as prov	ided therein.
24	(4) Other r	ates: If a provider has agreed under contract with the insurer or managed care organization
25	to acce	ept a different amount or reimbursement methodology, that amount or methodology
26	establis	shes the applicable fee.
27	7 (5) Payment levels frozen and reduced pending study of new fee schedule: Effective February 1, 2013,	
28	<u>inpatie</u>	nt and outpatient payments for each hospital and the payments for each ambulatory surgery
29	<u>center</u> :	shall be set at the payment rates in effect for those facilities as of June 30, 2012. Effective
30	April 1	, 2013, those rates shall then be reduced as follows:
31	<u>(A)</u>	Hospital outpatient and ambulatory surgery: The rate in effect as of that date shall be
32		reduced by 15 percent.
33	<u>(B)</u>	Hospital inpatient: The minimum payment rate in effect as of that date shall be reduced
34		by 10 percent.
35	(6) Effective Ap	oril 1, 2013, implants shall be paid at no greater than invoice cost plus 28 percent.
36	(e) A provider of medical compensation shall submit its statement for services within 75 days of the rendition of the	
37	service, or if treatment is longer, within 30 days after the end of the month during which multiple treatments were	

- 1 provided. However, in cases where liability is initially denied but subsequently admitted or determined by the
- 2 Commission, the time for submission of medical bills shall run from the time the health care provider received
- 3 notice of the admission or determination of liability. Within 30 days of receipt of the statement, the employer,
- 4 carrier, or managed care organization, or administrator on its behalf, shall pay or submit the statement to the
- 5 Commission for approval or send the provider written objections to the statement. If an employer, carrier,
- 6 administrator, or managed care organization disputes a portion of the provider's bill, the employer, carrier,
- 7 administrator, or managed care organization, shall pay the uncontested portion of the bill and shall resolve disputes
- 8 regarding the balance of the charges through its contractual arrangement or through the Commission.
- 9 (f) Pursuant to G.S. 97-18(i), when the 10 percent addition to the bill is uncontested, payment shall be made to the
- 10 provider without notifying or seeking approval from the Commission. When the 10 percent addition to the bill is
- contested, any party may request a hearing by the Commission pursuant to G.S. 97-83 and G.S. 97-84.
- 12 (g) When the responsible party seeks an audit of hospital charges, and has paid the hospital charges in full, the
- 13 payee hospital, upon request, shall provide reasonable access and copies of appropriate records, without charge or
- fee, to the person(s) chosen by the payor to review and audit the records.
- 15 (h) The responsible employer, carrier, managed care organization, or administrator shall pay the statements of
- 16 medical compensation providers to whom the employee has been referred by the treating physician authorized by
- 17 the insurance carrier for the compensable injury or body part, unless the physician has been requested to obtain
- authorization for referrals or tests; provided that compliance with the request shall not unreasonably delay the
- 19 <u>treatment or service to be rendered to the employee.</u>
- 20 (i) Employees are entitled to reimbursement for sick travel when the travel is medically necessary and the mileage
- 21 <u>is 20 or more miles, round trip, at the business standard mileage rate set by the Internal Revenue Service per mile of</u>
- travel and the actual cost of tolls paid. Employees are entitled to lodging and meal expenses, at a rate to be
- 23 established for state employees by the North Carolina Director of Budget, when it is medically necessary that the
- employee stay overnight at a location away from the employee's usual place of residence. Employees are entitled to
- 25 reimbursement for the costs of parking or a vehicle for hire, when the costs are medically necessary, at the actual
- 26 costs of the expenses.

30

323334

- 27 (j) Any employer, carrier or administrator denying a claim in which medical care has previously been authorized is
- 28 responsible for all costs incurred prior to the date notice of denial is provided to each health care provider to whom
- 29 <u>authorization has been previously given.</u>

31 Authority G.S. 97-18(i); 97-25; 97-25.6; 97-26; 97-80(a); 138-6.